
Nottingham City Council
Executive Decision Call-In Request Form

This form should be submitted to the Head of Democratic Services, Legal and Democratic Services, Resources by midnight on the fifth working day after the decision publication date. The signed form should be submitted in original hard copy. If the form is being submitted after the office has closed on the fifth working day, it should be signed, scanned and emailed to *all* of the following individuals:

Head of Democratic Services

debra.lamola@nottinghamcity.gov.uk

Overview and Scrutiny Review Co-ordinators

jane.garrard@nottinghamcity.gov.uk




angelika.kaufold@nottinghamcity.gov.uk

The original hard copy of the form must then be provided to the Head of Democratic Services on the following morning.

For further information about the call-in procedure please see the Overview and Scrutiny Guide to Call-In and/ or contact the Overview and Scrutiny Review Co-ordinators on 0115 8764315 or 0115 8764296.

Date of decision publication:	31/7/13
Portfolio Holder Decision reference number:	0918
<u>or</u> Executive Board minute number:
<u>or</u> Executive Board Sub Committee minute number:
<u>or</u> Area Committee minute number:
<u>or</u> Officer Decision reference number:
Description of decision:	
Pay by Phone Parking Zone Tariffs	

The following signatories request that the above decision be called in.

1. Signature  Print name **Cllr Georgina Culley**
2. Signature  Print name **Cllr Tim Spencer**
3. Signature  Print name **Cllr Roger Steel**

Reason for requesting the decision be called in

The request for call-in must be based on one or more of the following reasons below.
[Tick the appropriate box or boxes and provide details for the reason, appending additional sheets if necessary]

<u>Reason for requesting call-in:</u> a) The decision is outside the policy/ budgetary framework	

<u>Reason for requesting call-in:</u> b) Inadequate consultation relating to the decision	X
Carrying out consultation as part of the TRO process after the decision to make Traffic Orders in order to manage displaced parking has already been made means members of the public and businesses have no real opportunity to influence the decision.	

<u>Reason for requesting call-in:</u> c) Relevant information not considered	X
No information given on the effect of further displacement caused by introduction of new schemes. Not enough detail of likely income / expenditure from scheme provided in documentation. Will any income, as it relates to parking displaced by workers avoiding WPL contribution, feed back into the WPL?	

<u>Reason for requesting call-in:</u> d) Viable alternatives not considered	X
<p>Abolition of the Workplace Parking Levy.</p> <p>Alternatively, discussing with businesses their approach to the Workplace Parking Levy, finding out why employers are unable to offer an affordable on-site parking solution to their employees, and acting upon these findings.</p>	

<u>Reason for requesting call-in:</u> e) Justification for the decision open to challenge on the basis of evidence considered	X
<p>Concerned that carrying out consultation as part of the TRO process after the decision to make Traffic Orders in order to manage displaced parking has already been made means the consultation process will be open to challenge.</p>	

Suggestions for Call In Panel meeting

If the call in request is valid a meeting of the Call In Panel will be held. Please list below any evidence and/ or contributors that you think should be made available to the Call In Panel.

[Please note that these will be considered as suggestions only and the final decision on evidence and contributors will be made by the Chair of the Call In Panel.]

Suggested list of evidence to be provided / contributors to attend the Call In Panel meeting

Any work that has been done to look at the potential impact on surrounding areas of the new parking schemes

Any work that has been undertaken regarding expected useage / income as a result of the decision

Views of local residents, businesses etc that have been considered in making the decision

For office use only:

Received on behalf of the Head of Democratic Services by:
(signature)

Name:

Date:

Time:

Validation Check:
Head of Democratic Services

Date of publication: Date of call-in: In time: YES / NO

Office checks that call-in is valid against requirements as set out in the Constitution:
YES / NO

Reason:.....
.....
.....
.....
.....


Completed by: (signature)
..... (name)

Date: Time:

Validation Check: (if necessary)
Director of Legal and Democratic Services

Valid: YES / ~~NO~~

Reason: *The following elements of the request are valid:*
(1) Further displacement
which income is not stated (N.B. feedback into WPL will not happen)
(2) Discussions with business and acting on findings (N.B. Abolition
of WPL is not viable.)

Completed by:  (signature) *(1) & (2) There are elements of ambiguity in the decision form on these issues.*
CONNOR G. LEN O'CONNELL (name)

Date: *8 August 2013* Time: *10.30 a.m*

Referrals:

Date copied to Corporate Director / Portfolio Holder

Name of Corporate Director

Name of Portfolio Holder

Date copied to Chair of Overview and Scrutiny Committee: