#### **EXECUTIVE BOARD – 21 JANUARY 2014**

Subject:	Public Health Prescription Charges (FP10)				
Corporate	Chris Kenny, Director of Public Health				
Director(s)/	•				
Director(s):					
Portfolio Holder(s):	Councillor Alex Norris, Portfolio Holder for Adults and Health				
Report author and	Claire Gavagan, Business, Governance and Quality Specialist (Public				
contact details:	Health)				
	claire.gavagan@nottinghamcity.gov.uk				
	0115 87 63722				
Key Decision	∑Yes		04.000.000		
Reasons: Expenditure ⊠ Income ☐ Savings ☐ of £1,000,000 or					
more taking account of the overall impact of the decision					
Significant in terms of its effects on communities living or working in					
an area consisting of two or more wards in the City					
<b>,</b>	Yes No □     No □     Strategic Priority:	100	Wards affected:	asion. £1.090 million	
World Class Nottingha			All		
Work in Nottingham	AIII		/ \li		
Safer Nottingham					
Neighbourhood Nottingham  Date of consultation with Portfolio					
Family Nottingham Holder(s): 7 January 2014				ıary 2014	
Healthy Nottingham				-	
Leading Nottingham					
Summary of issues (including benefits to citizens/service users):					
	` , •			led an allocation for the	
costs associated with prescription charges (FP10s) related to Health Improvement Services as					
per Department of Health (DoH) grant conditions. These costs are paid for by the Nottingham					
Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) on behalf of the Local Authority.					
This report seeks approval to pay the CCG and confirm that the cost for 2013/14 is £1.096					
million.					
Recommendation(s):					
<ol> <li>To note that prescription charges, relating to Health Improvement Services for PH are £1.096 million for 2013/14 and these charges are as per the PH budget allocation and DoH grant conditions.</li> </ol>					
2. To approve payment of £0.846 million from the PH budget to the Nottingham CCG to meet the total costs of prescription charges, noting that an interim payment of £0.250 million was approved by Delegated Decision (ref: 0942).					

### 1 BACKGROUND (INCLUDING OUTCOMES OF CONSULTATION)

1.1 An allocation of £1.096 million for the cost of prescription charges (FP10s) arising from Health Improvement Services commissioned by PH was included in the PH budget transfer in April 2013. The value of £1.096 million is based on information provided by Nottingham CCG prior to the transition.

- 1.2 All prescription charges are currently paid by Nottingham CCG and the payment from Nottingham City Council has been requested to cover the cost of charges related to Health Improvement Services commissioned by PH.
- 1.3 In following years further analysis is needed to ensure Nottingham City Council are only paying for the prescription charges applicable to the services PH are responsible for and this work will be undertaken by the PH Team.

#### 2 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1 The allocation of £1.096 million for the total costs of prescription charges (FP10) was included within the PH ring fenced grant for 2013/14. All prescription costs are paid direct by the CCG and, therefore, payment of £0.846 million needs to be made to ensure that the total cost of prescription charges are met.

#### 3 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED IN MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS

3.1 No other options were considered when making this decision as payment must be made to cover the charges.

#### 4 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS (INCLUDING VALUE FOR MONEY/VAT)

- 4.1 The PH budget for 2013/14 is £27.081 million, this includes an allocation for FP10 Prescription charges of £1.096 million. This allocation was based on information provided by the CCG prior to transition, included in the budget allocation and the payment for this service is included in the DoH grant conditions.
- 4.2 During 2013/14 Delegated Decision 0942 approved for an interim payment of £0.250 million to the CCG whilst the PH budget transfer was being finalised. This report seeks approval of the balance of £0.846 million to be paid to the CCG.
- 4.3 There is no set budget allocation for FP10 costs within the 2014/15 PH budget prescribed by the DoH, therefore, a local agreement between PH and the CCG needs to be established to support payment.

# 5 RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES (INCLUDING LEGAL IMPLICATIONS AND CRIME AND DISORDER ACT IMPLICATIONS)

5.1 None.

#### 6 SOCIAL VALUE CONSIDERATIONS

6.1 None

#### 7 REGARD TO THE NHS CONSTITUTION

7.1 Local authorities have a statutory duty to have regard to the NHS Constitution when exercising their public health functions under the NHS Act 2006. In making this decision relating to public health functions, we have properly considered the NHS Constitution where applicable and have taken into account how it can be applied in order to commission services to improve the health of the local community.

8	EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA)						
	Has the equality impact been assessed?						
	(a)	not needed (report does not contain proposals for new or changing policies, services or functions, financial decisions or decisions about implementation of policies development outside the Council)					
	(b)	No					
	(c)	Yes – Equality Impact Assessment attached					
9		TOF BACKGROUND PAPERS OTHER THAN PUBLISHED WOR	RKS OR THOSE				
	<u>DIS</u>	CLOSING CONFIDENTIAL OR EXEMPT INFORMATION					
9.1	Non	e.					
10	PUE	BLISHED DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN COMPILING THIS RE	<u>EPORT</u>				

## 11 OTHER COLLEAGUES WHO HAVE PROVIDED INPUT

Ceri Walters (Finance Business Partner) Alison Challenger (Consultant in Public Health)

10.1 Delegated Decision 0942