Children's Centre Consultation

This report was generated on 04/06/15. Overall 544 respondents completed this questionnaire. The report has been filtered to show the responses for 'All Respondents'.

The following charts are restricted to the top 25 codes.

**How much do you agree/disagree with the proposal?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agreement Level</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree (77)</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree (192)</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither agree or disagree (100)</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree (73)</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree (75)</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Please explain why you agree or disagree**

- I don't feel currently childrens centres are used to their full potential. Should they be county council run more services groups sessions would potentially be offered to families to support them and prevent isolation.
- I am concerned that there will not be a dedicated under 0-5yr / toddler and parent venue, that provides low cost/free accessible activies and services, not just for parents but also grandparent carers in my local area. There is a great need for appropriate, purpose built indoor and outdoor spaces for under 5's. Libraries do not offer the same amount of space, indoor and outdoor space, accessible baby changing facilities. Where would midwife appointments happen? GP surgeries can be hard to get to.
- I think they should be left as they are.
- The idea behind having the children centers in each area was to enable families to access the services easily. By moving to 6 centers this will make it harder for families. If staff are working across larger areas it really dilutes the level of services family receive. Plus think of the affect these changes will have on staff, children centers have been hit over and over again over the last ten years. How can you improve services to children if you keep cutting them.
- Only having 6 main centres doesn't make it as accessible for all as it needs to be.
- I think it's a great idea to bring schools in to bridge that gap between
- I think as a user of the Bulwell centre I can see that allowing others to use the room is schools it will keep these vital centres active and open
- I strongly believe that by taking good care of the children, we can make a real difference for families, communities and insure that quality of life is improved now and in the future (better childhoods = better adults in the future).
- Cause there should be more of them and stopping some of the activities at some isn't fair
- There will be more facilities for children in various parts of the city
- Schools need the buildings more - the childrens centre at wollaton was a complete waste of time and money - it will be far better used as onsite nursery!
Please explain why you agree or disagree

This will hopefully strike a good balance between continuing to offer childrens centre services to families, while maximising the use of space that may currently be under-used. This could provide valuable additional space for schools to utilise while strengthening partnership working between schools and childrens centres. It will also hopefully reduce costs, which could be re-invested back into service delivery and enable more targeted services according to need.

Makes sense to make the most of the sure start buildings and allow schools and local businesses to use them. Making the most of council resources

These main hubs are not accessible to all. Those who do not drive and potentially have to walk further than 15mins is not convenient.

I agree with the idea to help families get more support. Especially parenting support.

I haven't seen or heard any linking with primary schools

Anything that provides a better service for children has to be a good thing

Because I currently use some of the centres which you wish to stop activities at.

Schools are the heart of our community and have the connections to build strong partnerships with local community centres to bring communities together

Children will have a wider learning and playing facilities. Will also let children understand and appreciate whatever they are being taught.

I think the idea of schools leasing and running the centres is unrealistic. As both a manager in a school and a regular user of a children's centre, I can see school staff will neither have the time, money or inclination to run a centre. The logistics would be difficult (for already highly overworked staff) and there is also the added expense of physical adaptations needed. Then there is the issue of health and safety and safeguarding which will need to be looked into closely on individual sites. If schools do take over the centres, I cannot see them going out of their way to promote the centre for use by the whole community. For example, a poorly attended male carers and children session will be cancelled to make way for something more relevant to schools such as a parent and child reading group. Although this has its benefits, it penalises the smaller groups within the local community. Localising facilities/activities to only a select few centres also discriminates those that do no

I think the space will provide greater support and flexibility from schools. Signposting and information can still be available but schools could lead on what their comminuty offer can be according to the pupils who use it. It will prvide more class space and SEN support areas.

Opportunity to use buildings more effectively. I note the proposals do not indicate the number of community centres planned for use for the stay and play sessions.

I believe that flexible space is important in communities, especially when demand for school places is so high.

Seems like good use of spaces

There is hardly anything in my local area, leneton, as I currently stands. This proposal sounds like it will erode that too.

Happy to know schools and community buildings would be involved.

Good use of space for an already over crowded schooling population

Allows more resources to be within the children's centre, schools need extra space.

If schools have the capacity, then it's a good idea.

You will loose chdn centre focus handing building over to sch coz what will happen to services. Are your main centre on good bus routes/in areas people go to. Family support/courses are better in local buildings

Children's Centres should never have been built on school playgrounds where playground space was already limited. Seely Primary lost some of its playground and has since had to accommodate even more children due to increases in PAN. The latest Ofsted states that there is not enough opportunity for outdoor learning. Please give the land and buildings back to the school.
Please explain why you agree or disagree

Such partnerships appear to make sense in terms of providing 'joined up' provision for youngsters and their carers.

It makes sense you use the buildings and not leave them empty. If you have a school next door it makes sense you involve them.

Children's centre activities should be on at as many centres as possible and not restricted to six so that people who don't drive or have money for public transport can access these much needed services. They can be a lifeline for some families where they can receive some much needed support.

As this means there will be no staff redundancies, no children's centres will be closing, and no loss of great services.

Maybe more advertising as to what is happening in the children centre, I pass thro mine daily while dropping my son at school, wouldn't know what the centre provides, or who even works there.

Although I cannot fault the reception staff, they will answer any questions, but would be nice to know what's happening in the centre without asking forst

promotes partnership working

I'd like more centres.

Limiting people's access to the provision. Many that want to access the provision do not have the ability to pay for travel to reach centres further afield.

I already feel that we don't get enough support and funding at our local children's centre compared to others and this will make it worse. I like the sound of stay and play sessions in more locations though

My experience with the centres (Broxtowe) is there is a lot of space unused a lot of the time which is a shame and a waste. Equally though I wouldn't want priority given to schools or other users such that current services are compromised.

Some of the things seem ok, but I think the centres that are given over to schools will just end up being lost in the long run.

It's not something that's necessary or cost effective. Lots of purpose built ss centres are small and the provision is not fit for purpose.

I attend the stay & play sessions at the childrens centre on perry rd and feel it is a really valuable resource to local parents.

As long as they stay open for support and the activities that are being stopped are relocate nearby (to library etc) and can still be accessed I think it's a good idea to extend use to local schools

I use sure start in sherwood, I feel very disappointed in this proposal. I have been using centre since moving upto sherwood in 2011, I used previous sure start centre in sure swindon which I have found out has shut for stay and play sessions etc. I have been through postnatal with my first child and pre and postnatal depression with my second child, I have had other problems to deal with my third child. This centre has helped me deal with my issues, also I have made friends with this centre. This sure start centre welcomes and introduces new mums to each other, regular baby groups don't. I use this centre as somewhere for my children to learn as it is a pre school setting, this centre closing means I can't easily access other centres. Two buses to get to them, I dont drive and suffer from chronic fatigue syndrome and possible fibromyelgia. This centre is near my house, I can't always afford bus fair to get to other centre so I would not be able to access other centres. Also I feel the

I have never been the perry road sure start and it hasn't been full and busy. It is a vital resource for many mums of many different backgrounds. It especially serves a large community around and it is walkable from carrington, Sherwood, Woodthorpe And daybrook. These are areas with many many parents with young children that rely on the sure start programmes as part of their support network. Reducing the programme at Perry road would have a major impact.

The children's centres are locally based. By not using them for groups such as stay and play or breast feeding groups may mean that some parents are put off going due to transport issues. Parental support is vital with establishing a young family.
Please explain why you agree or disagree

As a user of Edwards Lane centre for last 4 years, I must disappointed to learn of the proposals. I fail to see how any other local venues (within walking distance) would be able to host say the Stay & Play sessions. Sherwood Library is in an appaling state: little of it has changed since I started using it as a chord in the mid 1980s. It certainly offers no facilities for outdoor or "messy" play : it doesn't even have public toilets. So the alternative is now making parents with small children catch multiple buses to trek half way across the city? I would think most simply wont bother.

There doesn't seem to have been much thought given to the geographic spread of places if you don't have a car-where am I supposed to go in Dunkirk/Lenton as the nearest library is a bit of a walk?

I love the childrens centre in our area lots of activities, health vistor weekly, lots of advice and support.

Better to have less centres so less admin staff and costs, meaning more money for support.

I attend the Sherwood centre regularly, and your proposal indicates this is one of the centres that will have limited facilities. I have not lived in Nottingham long, and don't know it particularly well, but I presume Hyson Green would be the nearest centre with all facilities. Currently I can walk to the centre, which I would not be able to do if it was in Hyson Green, ultimately costing money to attend.

The staff at the centre and other mums I have met have been amazing and allowed me to make friends, have support and allow my children to play and learn in a safe positive environment. I am currently pregnant with my third child and to have the stay and play etc sessions relocated removed would be a loss. The local library is far too small to house such activities and I can see I would no longer attend, losing out on much needed support and friendship at a vital time.

I would agree with the proposal if this means that Children's Centres are kept open: they are a vital service and if this is the most cost effective way to preserve them, then so be it.

Are you going to monitor children direct from schools to abuse?? Work with paedo teachers and heads as usual?

I think this is a great idea as it can help parents feel at ease and comfortable knowing that the schemes will be joint with schools so it can help parents access the correct informations regarding there children. Also it brings cities together across Nottingham that can help diversity and equality. It also expands the networks across Children Centres and gives more options to provide different and new activities and workshops for children and parents.

I think local schools will benefit from using the extra rooms as class rooms.

FULLY SUPPORT WHAT THE CHILDRENS CENTRES HAVE DONE TO SUPPORT MY CHILD

I AGREE TO CERTAIN ASPECTS OF THIS, I AM CONCERNED IT MAY TAKE TEACHERS AWAY FROM THE MAIN SCHOOL WHILST TEACHING

FULLY SUPPORT WHAT THE CHILDRENS CENTRES HAVE DONE TO SUPPORT MY CHILD

PROPOSAL SOUNDS GOOD

I THINK SCHOOLS SHOULD USE FACILITIES WHICH ARE NOT BEING USED AT ALL TIMES

I THINK THE SUPPORT THEY GIVE IS VERY IMPORTANT FOR FAMILIES ESPECIALLY FOR ME BECAUSE HAVE FOUND SALVATION FOR MY CHILD

MAY MAKE IT EASIER FOR SCHOOLS AS THEY HAVE MORE SPACE

SURE START CONTINUALLY MAKE ARRANGEMENTS AND CHANGE EXISTING WORKING ARRANGEMENTS ONLY TO QUICKLY UNMAKE THE ARRANGEMENT AFTERT ONLY A FEW WEEKS. CONSISTANCY IS NOT PART OF ITS POLICY. NO FAITH THAT ANY ARRANGEMENTS MADE WILL CONTINUE TO BE DELIVERED

SOUNDS A GOOD IDEA

NOT ALL OF THE SIX CENTRES ARE EASY TO ACCESS

I FEEL THE NEED FOR MORE PLACES TO OFFER ADVICE AND LOCAL PLACES TO ACCESS COMMUNITY RUN PLAY SESSIONS, ADULT LEARNING AND SUPPORT SESSIONS

BECAUSE THERE WILL BE A LOT MORE SUPPORT
Please explain why you agree or disagree

THIS COULD HAVE IMPLICATIONS FOR THE SERVICE AS WELL AS THE SCHOOL. WOULD THE STAFF WANT TO BE RUNNING THIS? WOULD THE STAFF BE TRAINED APPROPRIATELY

As long as the families of Clifton are able to access such activities from the local area and that the events calendar is still very much promoted. Stronger partnership with the schools/nurseries and children's centre would need to be formed.

THE CENTRES THAT MOVE OVER TO SCHOOL CONTROL WILL BE USED MORE FULLY, AS THEY ARE DRastically UNDER USED BY THE COMMUNITY. IT WILL GIVE SCHOOLS THE EXTRA SPACE THEY REALLY NEED. IT WILLS ONLY WORK IF SCHOOLS ARE GIVEN THE FUNDING AND TIME TO FULLY MAKE THE MOST OF THE FACILITIES

IT'S TAKING THE AVAILABILITY AWAY FROM PEOPLE WHO LIVE NEAR EXISTING CENTRES

LESS GROUPS/LOCATIONS WILL MEAN MORE OVER CROWDED GROUPS, ALSO LESS CONVENIENCE FOR MYSELF WITH PROPOSED LOCATIONS

MORE USE FOR LOCAL CHILDREN

COMMUNITY CAFE OPENING SOON

THE CHILDREN'S CENTRES HAVE BEEN PURPOSEFULLY BUILT TO ACCOMMODATE CHILDREN UNDER 5 AND AID THEIR DEVELOPMENT. I DO NOT AGREE WITH REMOVING THE FACILITIES FROM THE COMMUNITY AND ALLOCATING THEM TO SCHOOLS

I AGREE AS IT JUST MEANS THERE WILL BE MORE CENTRES AVAILABLE IN THE COMMUNITY, FOR PEOPLE TO USE

MAY BE BENEFICIAL TO SCHOOLS TO HAVE EXTRA ROOMS

Sound idea to make best use of facilities but concerned about running costs being passed to schools who may not be in a financial situation to support them

I think it makes sense to focus services in key centres. Better to have a few centres which deliver decent activities and services rather many under resourced ones trying to scrap by.

I don't think schools have the time or resources to run the 12 closing sure start facilities/buildings. If the sure start activities are going to be held in the local community, then how are you going to ensure it is easy for people to find out where and when? It seems a terrible waste of resources to have ploughed so much money, time and effort into making the sure start centres become a well known hub for parental help, to then close them!

While it is a shame that not all areas in the city which need a centre will have one, I understand the pragmatic decision that needed to be made. I think all the centres listed will be made use of.

It makes best use of the available facilities.

I feel that schools are getting fuller with pupils and additional places would be of benefit for both parents teachers and the pupils.

Will decrease local services for the most vulnerable families who may not have cars or money for public transport.

Important for children & parents needs

IT WILL BRING THE SCHOOLS AND CENTRE TOGETHER

I THINK IT HELPS BABIeS AND YOUNG CHILDREN TO LEARN AND GROW. ADULTS LEARN AND GET TO KNOW PEOPLE

THERE IS NO RIGHT OR WRONG ANSWER

MORE ORGANISED

NOT REALLY RELEVANT AT THE MINUTE AS I DO NOT USE THEM

SUPPORT FOR BOTH CHILDREN AND PARENTS IS A VITAL NEED

I AGREE WITH A AND C FOR B-AM NOT SURE HOW THAT WILL WORK
Integrated Children's Centre and School Partnership Consultation

Children's Centre Consultation

Please explain why you agree or disagree

I think it is important to have a range of services available to people as they can be used as support networks, which are important for parents especially those who do not have support at home.

It looks like a cost cutting exercise. Sure Start Children's Centres have a strong identity separate from schools and it may be important to some parents that they are separate.

Do not really use them.

Sure Start should remain the same. Do not see why the school needs it.

Generally agree, however the services delivered by the toy library were brilliant and this is not currently being matched since being withdrawn.

Some of the smaller centres will suffer (e.g. Bells Lane (services were reduced a few years ago)). Services should stay within the Centres.

My children are too old to use these now but many of my friends and family can use these still. Also with schools using them, it lets the children have more room to thrive.

Sounds a good idea.

I do not think some activites are publicised enough.

I'm unsure if the Centre's main activities for toddlers will still be held at the centres. Currently I find the communications of my local children's centre to be piecemeal and could be improved upon considerably. However because we attend at the children centre regularly we are able to keep abreast of the activities and courses offered. However my concern with this proposal is that more activities will be held at other community facilities and the communications will decline. I believe having the hub where the majority of activities are held is vital to ensure an acceptable level of communication with users.

More access to services and also benefiting schools when using CC premises.

The reason why I agree is because the new plans are efficiently put in to place. For example it was important to realise that not all 18 play centres were needed. These 12 centres which provide advice which will be more valuable.

Anything that can be done to extend support networks for parents is a great thing.

If there were less centres running multiple activities the 'core' centres might be able to offer a wider variety of groups and that would be good. Also the other children's centres which wouldn't be used in the same way would still be well used by other organisations and schools so the space wouldn't be wasted.

It would be good for children of all age groups, to get together in a safe environment, that isn't school.

Good to have actual know point of access. People will be confused or not know services are available. Multi agency working will suffer if spread.

I think this proposal would allow better activities for preschool children. At the moment the centres are not being used to their full potential.

I agree in principle of reviewing the service and providing it in the best way for the service users, but I think it needs to be looked at in a wider scale. I feel there needs to be a physical presence in each area of the Children's Centre, not staff based elsewhere, travelling in to deliver sessions and then disappearing. Those is desperate need of support need somewhere local to pop into when they have the confidence to do so, having to travel will stop this (due to time restrictions, school runs and loosing confidence during the travelling). Additional Primary school space needs to be looked at as a whole and not just as part/add on the the children's centre review. More places are needed and the space will help this but will it be enough. All children's services should be looked at as a whole to ensure all areas are covered and the service users comes first!

There is nothing south of the river for clifton and wilford.
Please explain why you agree or disagree

I agree with the principal of the proposal, and agree that certain areas will require more support. I think its a shame there won't be any centres for play north of the city, Sherwood, Arnold etc.

I feel that some of the children's centres are under utilised. There are other community facilities available within communities such as community centres which are available for communities to access and offer a wide range of activities. In most cases community residents will access community centres as they are more relaxed and welcoming.

I think it's good to combine resources. This is a tried & tested method which has already been done with family centres over the last 20 years. Why the government wasted money by reinventing the wheel with children's centres I have no idea, but finally coming back full circle to something that works is great for children & families.

I am a midwife who runs my clinic out of a children centre attached to a school. I seem to be the only professional who uses the centre on a regular basis. Midwife/health clinics were originally ran from childrens centres to help promote and reach parents and advertise and encourage all the services available to them. However more recently there seems to be a lack of use of these centres, especially at mine where no other services seem to be ran or provided for parents or the community. I feel these spaces are becoming unfit for purpose and slowly will be closed and another derelict space occurred. I myself is begining to move my clinic as the childrens centre is not the most resourceful place is used to be. Maybe you should ask the users of the venues for more of their opinions?

Reduction in govt funding makes this inevitable

We use the sure start at St.anns North and it is the best space by far for children to use. It would be such a shame for all of us parents who don't drive if they were to get rid of activities here.

Having fewer main centres reduces access for people.

Its a good idea letting local school use the centres more but then with the main 6 centre getting the majority of the activites and groups its not fair on the other 12

It makes sense to have joined up services.

Our local surestart, St Ann's north, is the centre of our community, with older children attending the attached Walter Halls Primary School. The service and playgroups are used and valued by many of the local families with smaller children as well as local childminders. It is the only free group available to use as well as coming with support. As a mother of a child on the ASD it will be a huge loss of contact and meeting points to the outside world, especially since it also serves as touch point with the schools family support worker and surestart worker in one. The recent reduction of playgroups taking place there has already had a significant impact on those using it.

It help children to learn early

Seems like a broadly covered area

I currently use the Dunkirk centre and the Radford centre. I think they are vital centres for the community (radford in particular) and if they were to change, parents such as myself would feel it keenly. Radford in particular is an area that needs more support already, not less. I have recently set up a parents and tots group in the area as I feel it does not have substantial support for families in radford.

Makes use of community centres which were originally built for this purpose there's also more community centres for people to access

Should be more than 6 centres. Not all mother have cars to be able to get to 6centres who wanted leave. Centres can be too crowded.

Agree consolidation is required but closing some centers could isolate some family's who can't/won't travel for services

Thought children centres were for preschool kids. Schools using these centres for classsroo m and meeting rooms doesn't address the same need.

The St. Anns north children's centre is popular and accessible for many children it would be a sad day if there were no play sessions available here anymore!
Please explain why you agree or disagree

I visited my local Centre a lot when I had my son. It was easy to get to so groups were more appealing. If I had to travel to a group or activity I would be less likely to use the centre.

IT HELPS BY GIVING US THE BEST SERVICES

just want the stay and play to stay local

ALL 12 CENTRES WILL CLOSE. THE COMMUNITY WILL LOSE ON THINGS TO DO ETC

SHOULD REMAIN LOCAL

WANT THE CENTRES TO REMAIN LOCAL

I AGREE WITH THE PROPOSAL ONLY IF THE CHILDMINDING GROUP GET TO USE THE ROOM AS NORMAL.

PROVIDING THE CHILDMINDING GROUP STILL GET TO USE THE ROOM

USE THE NEIGHBOURING SCHOOLS AD LIBRARY

I LIKE USING THE CHILDREN'S CENTRE AS A COMMUNITY PLACE TO MEET PEOPLE close to home

GOOD PROPOSAL FOR SPENDING TIME, SOCIAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ALSO FOR LEARNING. CHILDREN ENJOY.

WILL NOT AFFECT OUR CENTRE

AS LONG AS THERE IS SOMEWHERE TO GO TO DO ACTIVITIES WITH THE CHILDREN I AM OK WITH IT

STAYS THE SAME

the proposal means that there will be no play groups in the st anns area?

DEDICATED ASSURED SPACE IS NEEDED FOR ALL THESE SERVICES

IF THE SMALLER CENTRES WOULD BENEFIT MORE AND THERE WILL BE STILL THINGS AVAILABLE FOR THE CHILDREN THEN THERE SHOULD BE NO HARM IN THE PROPOSAL

NO CHILDREN'S CENTRE IN ST ANN'S WITH REGULAR ACCESS TO ACTIVITIES

ACCESS TO THE SERVICES WILL BE EASY AND CONVENIENT. HAVING TWO SMALL CHILDREN THE BABY AND TODDLER ACTIVITIES ARE IMPORTANT TO ME

HAPPY CHILDREN

I LIKE COMING WITH MY DAUGHTER. SHE ENJOYS ALL THE DIFFERENT ACTIVITIES

AS LONG AS THE SAME NUMBER OF SESSIONS ARE AVAILABLE IT DOESN'T MATTER WHERE THEY ARE

AS LONG AS IT IS GOOD FOR THE CHILDREN.

as long as there are plenty of activities for the children

i use one of the main centres, so will still be able to access the activities

HELPS IF YOU CAN'T ATTEND OTHER PLACES

BECAUSE WE COME TO SOUTHGLADE GROUPS AND HAVE A LOT OF SUPPORT IN THESE GROUPS.

NOT AFFECTED BY THE CHANGE

GOOD IDEA

NEED TO KEEP THEM OPEN FOR KIDS AND SINGLE MUMS AND ALSO FOR DISABLED.

I COME TO COURSES AND BRING CHILDREN TO PLAYGROUP

GOOD PROPOSAL. CLEAR PICTURE OF THE CHILDREN'S CENTRE ROLE. PARTNERSHIP WITH SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITY.

CHILDREN NEED SCHOOL PLACES
Please explain why you agree or disagree

THERE WILL BE FEWER BUILDINGS TO ACCOMMODATE AS AT THE MOMENT THERE ARE A good variety at local places

I USE A LOT OF THE CENTRES THAT ARE NEXT TO SCHOOLS.

GOOD FOR CHILDREN TO EXPLORE NEW PLACES

MAY BE HARD FOR SOME PEOPLE TO GET TO OTHER CENTRES

CHILDREN'S CENTRES CATER FOR EVERYONE AND HELP WHEN YOU HAVE QUESTIONS.

SIMPLIFIES AND SAVES MONEY

SHERWOOD IS CLOSE TO US AND I WOULD BE RELUCTANT TO TRAVEL FAR.

the centres provide a place to go in times of need

LOVELY GROUP

AS I HAVE MY OWN TRANSPORT IT IS NO PROBLEM TO GET TO DIFFERENT PLACES

I think they should keep the local centres open as they are local to get to and chores love playing in a enivrt where ther used to with friends they haveade locally

FAMILIES NEED THEM

LIKE IT AS IT IS NOW

I WILL MISS THE CURRENT SURESTART LOCATION

GOOD IDEA TO HAVE 6 MAIN ONES

i would be lost without surestart top valley

more centres should be used

more facilities/meeting places are good

PEOPLE DON'T WANT TO LOSE SERVICES

IT DOES NOT DETAIL WHAT WILL HAPPEN TO THE SERVICES

THIS WOULD MAKE REMAINING CENTRES BUSIER

THE SCHOOL WILL MAKE REGULAR USE OF THE CENTRE AND WON'T MAKE TIME FOR CHILDMINDERS AND THE CHILDREN THEY CARE FOR

SENSIBLE TO USE AREAS PRODUCTIVELY AS LONG AS IT DOES NOT LEAD TO OVERCROWDING

THE CHILDREN ARE SETTLED HERE AND IT IS A WARM AND WELCOMING PLACE

families need them

families need them

families need them

families need them

families need them

families need them

families need them

HARDER TO ACCESS FROM FURTHER AWAY

good use of funds

HELPS PEOPLE GET BACK TO WORK

no effect on me

TOO LARGE A CITY TO ONLY HAVE 6 CENTRES

IT IS NICE HAVING A SEPARATE BUILDING AND SPACE

kids will b safe and healthy

nothing in Clifton as it is. These centres offer great support.

because there are little services in Clifton
Please explain why you agree or disagree

because babies will be safe and healthy
LIKE THE FACT THAT I CAN WALK TO CLIFTON CENTRE
so some are opeen
many activities
it will be beneficial
families need them
don't live near by
families need them
families need them
families need them
families need them
use it in best way
BEST PLACES TO GO WITH YOUNG CHILDREN
I WORRY THAT THE UNDER 4'S WON'T GET THE SAME SERVICES & ACTIVITIES IF PRIMARY SCHOOLS TAKE OVER. ALSO SCHOOL BUDGETS ARE SO TIGHT WOULD CHILDREN'S CENTRES GET SUFFICIENT FUNDS FOR STAFFING & ACTIVITIES.
AS A SINGLE PARENT WHO MOVED TO NOTTINGHAM DUE TO DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, CLIFTON CENTRE HAS BEEN AMAZING.
CHILDREN'S CENTRES SHOULD REMAIN SEPARATE TO SCHOOLS
HAPPY TO DO BOTH
THERE WILL BE NOWHERE CLOSE IN CLIFTON FOR MY CHILD TO GO.
UNDERSTAND THAT IT IS FOR FINANCE REASONS, BUT IT IS REALLY DISAPPOINTING TO HEAR THAT THE GROUPS & SPACES THAT WE LOOK FORWARD TO ATTENDING WEEKLY WON'T BE THERE. I GO TO THESE GROUPS, NOT ONLY FOR ADULT CONVERSATION, BUT TO HELP MY CHILD LEARN AND DEVELOP.

We should constantly be looking at ways to improve on our services for our young citizens.
It's good having children's centres in individual settings.
The proposal will ultimately save money and therefore jobs without compromising the service for families or outcomes for children.
To bring services together makes sense and saves money
Harder for people to access
Schools can do with the additional space and schools having the opportunity to work together as a multi agency approach will benefit families tremendously
Children's centres are a huge support to parents with young children. If they are far away from people it will make them inaccessible. Also people that aren't local to the centres may not know that they are there or what they provide. Families that aren't local will miss out on a huge support network because they won't think these services are for them or that they are allowed to use them
As the population increases and more support for children, parents and teachers is required, it is a fantastic idea to encourage communication between all parties through shared space
I'd like to see better access. So not having six bases is a better option. You need to explore using other buildings. Including partners (such as fire stations)
There needs to be more activities after school clubs etc to keep kids off the street. There is nothing round Bestwood area. Would be great to see support groups especially for kids with learning needs. Parent support to help people back into work would be great. nice to see you are not thinking about closure on this occasion
It is fair, spreading services across the area evenly
### Please explain why you agree or disagree

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Please explain why you agree or disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>It makes sense to have 6 hub sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would help cover costs to ensure money can be spent on more activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good For the children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>These places need to be used by local people otherwise it’s a waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I think it is a good way to maintain services but reduce costs. I’m happy with the centres still providing services- there is a good spread, and it will be good to utilise other resources such as libraries for courses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School should have its own protected space not just rent from wherever.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It’s a good compromise for saving money and keeping services fully running</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOR NEW PARENTS THE SURESTART CENTRES ARE A FANTASTIC WAY OF ENTERING THE WORLD OF PARENTHOOD. THE ST ANNS NORTH CENTRE IS THE ONLY ONE IN THE AREA WITH A BRILLIANT SIZE ROOM. IT WOULD MAKE A PERFECT OPPORTUNITY GO TO WASTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOT INCLUDING THE WALTER HALLS NORTH WOULD BE SUCH A SHAME. IT HAS SUCH A LOVELY SPACE AND IS PERFECT FOR YOUNG CHILDREN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THE PROPOSAL Restricts people like me to access the centres.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Help is needed for family's from all areas that's easily accessible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER CENTRES ARE TOO FAR TO ACCESS WITH NO TRANSPORT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHILDREN'S CENTRES ARE VERY USEFUL FOR CHILDREN UNDER 5/ CHILDREN NOT IN SCHOOL. THE SERVICES AVAILABLE SHOULDN'T BE LIMITED. SCHOOLS ALREADY HAVE THEIR OWN FACILITIES.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOO FAR TO TRAVEL TO THE OTHER CENTRES AS I DON'T DRIVE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITED ACCESSIBILITY ONLY MAKES SENSE FOR COST SAVING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Children Centre should be there to support any families that need the support and services, CC is one of the main way of building stronger communities from an early state. This was one of the main aims of the Children CC to help social integration within communities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surestart centre's do not provide services for those employed full time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I like the idea of using the spare space for primary schools and having main centre's but I live in Carlton and am hoping to move to Woodthorpe the main childrens centres seem a long way away from there and with no easy parking which I am aware of. I came across this while trying to find alternative sessions to take my baby to be weighed as with returning to work I am struggling and wanted to find out what other centres were available and session times but if you are centralising (sorry dyslexic spelling awful and sleep deprived so thats not helping) does that mean we loose more options for weigh in times/venues? I am a little worried about that as even at my local-ish ones I have to sit on the floor and that does my back in every time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT HAS A REALLY GOOD OUTCOME. NEW MUMS CAN MEET AND CHAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THERE WONT BE ENOUGH APPOINTMENTS AVAILABLE, IT IS MORE LOCAL NOW.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPORTANT FOR MUMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPORTANT SERVICE FOR PREGNANT AND NEW MUMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT IS USED A LOT BY LOCAL RESIDENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>because all proposal very important in terms of providing services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOO FAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOO FAR. BROXTOWE CENTRE TOO SMALL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERVICES STILL AVAILABLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EASE SCHOOLS WITH LACK OF SPACE. MAKE SURE THAT CENTRES ARE Viable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THERE SHOULD BE MORE SERVICES AND ACTIVITIES, NOT LESS.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please explain why you agree or disagree

THE COMMUNITY WILL LOSE SUPPORT. THE CHILDREN WILL LOSE ACTIVITIES.
unfair on families with no transport
STOP GIVING US SURVEYS
SHOULD BE KEPT AS THEY ARE
NO NEED FOR CHANGE CENTRES GIVE OPPORTUNITY FOT PEOPLE TO MEET, LESS CENTRES WILL MEAN TOO MANY PEOPLE IN THE REMAINNING ONES.
IMPORTANT FOR COMMUNITIES
BECAUSE WE NEED PLACES FOR YOUNG CHILDREN AND PARENTS TO GO
SCHOOLS HAVING MORE SPACE IS NEVER A BAD THING
NICE TO MEET NEW PEOPLE AND FOR THE CHILDREN TO BE AROUND OTHER CHILDREN
centres need to be used for lots of reasons
ALL NURSERY CHILDREN SHOULD BE KEPT IN THE NURSERY THEY WANT
PEOPLE WILL STILL HAVE SUPPORT
THERE NEEDS TO BE MORE COMMUNITY BUILDINGS ACROSS NOTTINGHAM
there should be more facilities in all areas for people to access
more centres means more services
GOOD FOR PARENTS AND CHILDREN
GOOD USE OF CENTRES
TOO FAR TO TRAVEL TO THE MAIN CENTRES
MORE LOCATIONS FOR HELP
THE SMALLER CENTRES ARE MORE VALUABLE TO THE COMMUNITY
GOOD USE OF BUILDINGS
IMPACT ON SCHOOL RATIOS. LOSS OF STAFF. WOULD BE GOOD TO HAVE SESSIONS FOR CHILDREN WITH DYSLEXIA ETC
BILBOROUGH CHILDREN'S CENTRE SHOULD DO CHILDREN'S ACTIVITY. NONE OF THE OTHER CENTRES ARE ON THIS SIDE OF THE CITY
TOO FAR
GOOD USE OF THE CENTRES, HOWEVER DO NOT AGREE WITH PROPOSAL B AS PRIMARY SCHOOLS HAVE THERE OWN SPACE
MORE OPPORTUNITY FOR CHILDREN &ADVICE WHEN NEEDED
GOOD FOR PATENTS AND CHILDREN
I THINK CANTRELL PRIMARY WOULD GET A LOT OF USE OUT OF BULWELL CHILDREN'S CENTRE
ALL TOY LIBRARY STAFF WHO RUN SESSIONS ARE BRILL. ONLY BEEN TO ONE COURSE AT CRABTREE CENTRE AND I WAS NOT CONFIDENT IN THE STAFF LOOKING AFTER MY CHILD. THE TOYS WERE DIRTY AS WELL.
IT'S ALWAYS GOOD WHEN THERE ARE CENTRES OFFERING ACTIVITIES FOR CHILDREN IN OUR AREA
NEEDS TO INCLUDE TH STAFF HAVE BEEN MADE REDUNDANT AND THE CHILDREN HAVE LOST CONTINUITY AND EXPERTISE OF THE OLD STAFF.E TOY LIBRARY.
AS LONG AS THE FACILITIES ARE BEING USED AND NOT LEFT EMPTY PEOPLE CAN STILL RECIEVE HELP AND SUPPORT IF NEEDED
SOUNDS LIKE A GOOD IDEA TO SAVE MONEY WITHOUT LOSING SERVICES
Please explain why you agree or disagree

I think that the activities provided are good for the progression of both unconfident parents and for children that need/like to learn.

It will be good to help more people.

Distance of travel would be a disadvantage.

Distance to other centres.

Giving more to the community.

More choice is good.

More options.

Good the way things are.

Leaving private sessions more bookable at the centre and commenced work in a more public place.

I agree as it is a good idea that children get an education as early as possible.

Will stop the centre being so flexible as schools will have control, also people will lose their jobs.

Distance.

Would be easier to have activities at school as it is closer to our home.

Want to attend groups at Clifton.

Distance.

Free childcare is brilliant, it helps mums get back into work.

A better service will be provided.

Easier access to services.

Radford centre brings childminders together.

Services need to be locally targeted. Buses do not run from my home to the areas proposed.

Distance.

Distance.

Children centres provide meeting places for both parents and children in the same area.

Distance to other centres.

It is important that the centres continue to give support to families.

Play centre at Wollaton is very popular.

It is a shame one service has to be sacrificed for another.

Stay and play sessions should remain in this centre for local families.

Extra primary school classrooms may impact/reduce the oversubscribed places for schools in the local area. I do not agree with children's centres closing however the proposal indicates the activities will continue to take place within the community. I assume this means it will be close to the current children's centre not one of the 'main six' as these are not local to Wollaton.

I think each centre should continue delivering groups. Some people like myself do not drive so the meadows and Dunkirk are the only centres I can walk to.
Do you feel that this proposal will prevent you from accessing/using a Children’s Centre activity/service?

Yes (190) 36%
No (335) 64%

Why do you say this?

I feel that the proposal suggests that there will be no appropriate activities offered for my toddler and parent/grandparents to go to at my local centre.

Because it will not feel like you are accessing that service, if they are merged. Too much will be going on with limited resources and not enough specialist help/classes etc

You are reducing the number of venues we can run sessions from and limiting our access to people who require our services

Has the ones they are staying are further away from me

I used the childrens centre for their playgroup / messy play - this won't now be offered I will have to go elsewhere

I am 15mins to walk to a hub. This is ok in good weather. You will be taking the convenience away.

Because the 6 centres won't be in walking distance to everyone who currently accesses the sessions.

I attend the rainbow stripes session at sherwood and this is already over crowded. To have to travel to another centre, and then having to cram the same number of people in Nottingham into many fewer sessions, would ultimately mean an ever more crowded session. This would stop me from attending as it isn't just the session that is provided but the quality of the session that is important. Again, I know many families that would be unable to travel to different centres to attend.

Local facilities should ideally be within walking distance. They should be part of communities and help to form communities at the baby and toddler stage.

I wont go to a school site my children dont attend. My chd school doesnt have a centre currently. What will happen to babyclinics

Because if the Male Carers’ stay-and-play sessions for under-5s that currently run at Edwards Lane/Perry Road SureStart are terminated or relocated, this may affect my ability to bring my grandchild to the new location.

If services are reduced to the six main centres listed I would have to pay to use public transport to get there which I am not prepared to do.

Too far away.

Not myself personally but cost of travel, inability to travel with small children etc

I would have to travel too far to make it worth my while

There will not be a children’s centre within walking distance of me

I refuse to access services like this one

I wouldn't feel compelled to travel to any of the centres which will continue to provide sessions( and I drive). Friends who don't drive certainly wouldn't.

Others are bus journeys away, I don't drive. Off sick and can't always afford bus

I work Part time and can't always get to the other sure starts on the days they run things. Also getting a bus to another site is a massive inconvenience with my twins

No access to a car during the day and time restraints due to my eldest son being in Seeley nursery will mean my youngest son will miss out
Why do you say this?

As stated, the alternative would mean traveling across the city. With a child byte in nursery, I only have 2.5hrs in the mornings before I need to collect him: traveling to Hyson Green would involve a bus journey (+ more expense) and would mean I would only be able to attend a session for around an hour.

None of the centres that are proposed are that near to where I live and I wouldn't call Radford library the most suitable place for 'stay and play'.

I walk to my local centre (Sherwod) and the next nearest is two buses away. With three children this becomes a chore not a pleasure.

I wouldn't trust your council to remove my shoes let alone deal with children. You are All a disgrace.

NO COMMENT

BULWELL CHILDREN'S CENTRE IS PREDOMINANTLY USED BY THE NURSERY. PAST EXPERIENCE SHOWS THAT THEY CHANGE THEIR ARRANGEMENTS QUICKLY AND WITHOUT MENTIONING ANYTHING TO USERS.

MY NEAREST CHILDREN'S CENTRE WILL NO LONGER BE OFFERING ACTIVITIES SO IT MAY NOT BE AS CONVENIENT. IT WILL NOT FEEL LIKE I COULD "NIP IN" IF NEEDED.

AS I WILL PROBABLY HAVE TO TRAVEL FURTHER

THERE WILL BE A LOT MORE PLACES TO GO

DEPENDING ON WHAT IS BEING OFFERED AND WHERE IT WOULD BE AVAILABLE

BECAUSE THE DAYS WHEN THE CHILDREN'S CENTRE IS OPEN MAY CHANGE. THIS MAY AFFECT PARENTS AND CHILDREN'S PATTERN

NONE

AS A REGISTERED CHILDMINDER I HAVE ALREADY BEEN UNABLE TO USE ONE OF THE CENTRES THAT I USED TO USE WEEKLY, WITH THE CHILDREN DUE TO ITS CLOSURE/RE-ALLOCATION OF SERVICE TO THE SCHOOL.

How are you going to find out where and when sure start activities are being held in your local community? Information needs to be easy to access, and not everyone has the money for electrical gadgets, plus are not technologically savvy.

Because we rely on public transport and the reason I access the activities is because we can walk to them.

NO COMMENTS

SOME OF THESE CENTRES DO NOT CATER FOR THE MIDDLE AGE GROUPS OF CHILDREN. IT IS EITHER MOTHER/BABY, TODDLER OR TEENS. NOTHING FOR 6 TO 10

As before, if moving the activities to multiple sites the communications are likely to decline.

Services not well advertised now. More confusion if different things in different places. My local center may not provide what I'd like. It's difficult for me to travel easily with very young children. People in this area do not have spare money for travel costs. Will add on to time, I have to fit around work, may not be able to include travel time.

Sessions will still be accessible in the local community at community buildings, but others services will run from a centre that is a distance away, after dropping older children off at school/nursery people will not travel in case they don’t get back in time.

Because there is nothing south of the river!

If you are a resident in the north of the city it might deter you from using a centre in hyson if you had to travel to get there. It depends on what the various centres would be offering.

Everyone should be in partnership!

This is the centre i can get to easily when walking with my daughter in a pushchair.
Why do you say this?

I need somewhere that is ideally within walking distance, as transport is an issue.

As my local centre arent the main ones they wont offer as much and services will be limited

Because as a non-driver the nearest surestart services are simply out of reach. Parents don't necessarily need a one-on-one meeting that require making appointments. It's the simple contact and being part of something bigger, a group, that helps with the overwhelming sense of isolation. Not just as a parent of an autistic child but also and much more importantly for first time parents, and those without the support of the wider families as is in my case

Dunkirk centre was not listed as a main centre. I have just started to go to one of how events there am disappointed to learn it may be stopping there. (It is a really nice facility)

Too far away. Less continent location

No center local

Other services are not close by to reach when you cannot drive and like to walk the children

Hassle of getting buses with little ones

I DO NOT DRIVE & RELY ON LOCAL CENTRES

ADDITIONAL HURDLES WILL REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF PEOPLE ATTENDING

HAVING TO TRAVEL FURTHER WOULD ADD TO MY ANXIETY LEVELS,

CLOSE TO HOME

HARDER TO ATTEND

At the moment there are 3 childrens centres in the st anns area, the best one being Walter halls for space. the others get too full, there is no room for the children to play. If the proposal goes ahead then the Walter halls center needs to stay for play activities.

AS IT MAY BE AT A DIFFERENT SCHOOL TO THE ONE SHE WILL BE GOING TO

limited as to what activities are around your area and may have to travel

LIBRARY IS TOO SMALL

NO INFO ON OTHER CENTRE

no centre means nowhere to go for advice or just for a break.

As will not be travelling to go as bus fare wok out expensive

EASIER TO GET TO WESTGLADE

do not drive

THE REMAINING CENTRES WILL BE MUCH BUSIER

DEPENDS ON HOW FAR I WOULD NEED TO TRAVEL

LOCAL, WITHIN WALKING DISTANCE.

I BELIEVE THEY WON'T MAKE CHILDMINDING GROUPS A PRIORITY

IT TAKES A WHILE TO FIND A GROUP THAT YOUR CHILD AND YOURSELF GET COMFORTABLE WITH

WE WOULD ONLY BE ABLE TO USE THE FACILITIES AT CERTAIN TIMES

DON'T LIKE THE THOUGHT OF GOING SOMEWHERE ELSE

distance

WOULD NOT BE AS CONVENIENT FOR ME

where will i go instead

son loves it

MY NEXT CHILDRENS CENTRE IS TOO FAR

IF NO CHANGES ARE MADE I WILL CONTINUE TO USE THE CENTRE AS NORMAL.
Why do you say this?

I LIVE IN CLIFTON

THE CHILDREN'S CENTRE THAT POSSIBLY WILL STAY OPEN SEEM TO BE IN THE MORE DEPRIVED AREAS, WHAT ABOUT THE PEOPLE THAT ARE OF AN EDUCATED BACKGROUND THAT WANT TO MEET LIKE MINDED PARENTS AND WANT THEIR CHILDREN TO LEARN AND DEVELOP. YES THERE IS CHURCH PLAYGROUNDS, BUT THEY DO NOT OFFER THE SAME ACTIVITIES, SUCH AS MESSY PLAY/LARGE OUTDOOR SPACES.

Sherwood centre is next to my older child's school. The other centres are too far away

I like going to places that are specific for purpose.

People have to travel further

Inaccessible. Not within my community.

Harder to reach. Plus community cohesion isn't as you want it to be. There are still white areas. There are still black areas and there are still rich areas. The rich don't want to mix with the poor...

As main services are only run from 6 not all centres

TOO FAR AWAY FOR NON DRIVERS

TOO FAR FROM WHERE WE LIVE

OTHER SITES PROPOSED ARE NOT REACHABLE FOR ME.

DIFFICULT TO REACH WITH 2 CHILDREN UNDER 4. NOT IN MY AREA.

NONE OF THE 6 MAIN CENTRES ARE WITHIN WALKING DISTANCE/WITHIN MY LOCALITY.

WOULD COST MORE TO TRAVEL.

CLOSEST CENTRE IS 2 BUS TRIPS AWAY

Schools and CC already have partnership work with school, what is needed is for CC to have better intergation with Job Centre which will give support to the "hard to reach families". Job Centre should ensure and sanction families that have children 0 - 5 to attend their local CC up to 2 or 3 time a week. These families are within the communities and they are the ones which become the " Hard to Reach families". Job Centre knows these families and if they are not activity looking for work, they should be fullfil their children need by accessing CC. CC is one of the main way of building stronger communities from an early state and is the main gateway for families intergation.

I am new-ish to Notting ham and don't really know the area's but from my vague impressions they aren't the nicest of areas and I wouldn't want to go there as I feel quite vunerable when I am with my baby and as awful as it sounds I have visited a nursery in one of you area's where you wan ted main centres as I didn't know any better while trying to find somewhere to care for my child while I returned to work. They didn't know what to do with breast milk - ie if you could frezze it and asked me how to feed it to my baby. The children looked board and one was violent and throwing things everywhere (assume also board) I don't want the first friends my child has or first memories he has to be surrounded by children like that. I feel intimidiated by the parents of those children so I don't want to put my self in a situation where I know I would feel uncomfortable and not relaxed around my baby.

PEOPLE USE IT A LOT FOR DIFFERENT REASONS

TOO FAR AWAY

NOT EVERYONE HAS THEIR OWN TRANSPORT

WILL BE TAKEN OVER BY SCHOOLS

TOO FAR

DIFFICULT TO ACCESS OTHER CENTRES

WILL STOP ME MEETING WITH OTHER MUMS.

I WOULD NOT HHAVE THE TIME TO COMMUTE

FACILITIES MORE FRIENDLY AT ASPLEY

NOT ALL THE CENTTRES OFFER THE SAME FACILITIES
Why do you say this?

DON'T WANT TOO HAVE TO GO INTO SCHOOLS
TRAVEL DISTANCE
NOT SO LOCAL
THERE WOULD BE NOWHERE LOCAL TO GO
AS LONG AS GROUPS ARE NOT AFFECTED IT SHOULD NOT BE A PROBLEM
STAFFING
TOO FAR
STRELLEY STAY AND PLAY
STAFFING
TOO FAR
THE SESSIONS WILL NEED TO FIT IN WITH MY ROUTINE
TOO FAR TO CRABTREE
DISTANCE
FURTHER TO TRAVEL
DISTANCE
BEING FULL WITH SCHOOL KIDS AT SCHOOL TIME
NO LOCAL CENTRE
BECAUSE CLIFTON WILL NOT HAVE SESSIONS
DISTANCE
travel time
THE RADFORD CENTRE BRINGS THE COMMUNITY TOGETHER
LOCAL TO RADFORD CENTRE
CHILDREN'S CENTRE IS GOOD
DISTANCE
LOCATION
DISTANCE
REGULAR CENTRE IS CLOSER
DISTANCE
DISTANCE OF TRAVEL
DISTANCE
HAVE TO USE PUBLIC TRANSPORT TO GET THERE
Dunkirk will no longer have groups which is 1 of 2 of my local centres

Do you currently use a Nottingham City Council Children’s centre?

Yes (409) 77%
No (125) 23%
Do you access a Children’s Centre Activity in a community venue ie Library, Community Centre, Faith Building, Health Centre?

Yes (339)  64%
No (187)  36%

Which area in the city do you access your main Children’s Centre activity/service?

- St Anns North (Walter Halls) (26)  5%
- St Anns South (Huntingdon) (7)  1%
- Sneinton (31)  6%
- Bestwood (69)  13%
- Top Valley (38)  7%
- Basford (19)  4%
- Broxtowe (33)  6%
- Aspley (31)  6%
- Bilborough (25)  5%
- Meadows (7)  1%
- Clifton (40)  8%
- Bulwell (90)  17%
- Bulwell Forest (10)  2%
- Radford (31)  6%
- Dunkirk, Lenton and Lenton Abbey (5)  1%
- Hyson Green and New Basford (4)  1%
- Sherwood (28)  5%
- Wollaton (23)  4%
Part of the proposal would include moving some of the current children’s centre services into the local community. Which of the following would be your preferred buildings for these services? - Bulwell

United Reform Church (11) 13%
Bulwell Riverside (85) 97%
Healthy Living Centre (9) 10%
Schools (11) 13%

Part of the proposal would include moving some of the current children’s centre services into the local community. Which of the following would be your preferred buildings for these services? - Top Valley

Southglade Access Centre (26) 72%
Bestwood Park Church (4) 11%
Top Valley Health Centre (15) 42%
Training Knights Close (1) 3%
Bestwood Park Community Centre (3) 8%
The Ridge (3) 8%
Bestwood Library (2) 6%

Part of the proposal would include moving some of the current children’s centre services into the local community. Which of the following would be your preferred buildings for these services? - Bilborough

Bilborough Community Centre (20) 83%
Birchover Community Centre (9) 38%
Grange Farm Medical Centre (7) 29%
Brocklewood Primary School (-)
Part of the proposal would include moving some of the current children’s centre services into the local community. Which of the following would be your preferred buildings for these services? - Sherwood

- United Reform Church (13) 48%
- Edwards Lane Community Centre (6) 22%
- Burford School (1) 4%
- Sherwood Community Centre (18) 67%
- Sherwood Library (13) 48%

Part of the proposal would include moving some of the current children’s centre services into the local community. Which of the following would be your preferred buildings for these services? - Radford

- All Souls Church (5) 19%
- Radford Library (12) 46%
- Nottingham Nursery School (19) 73%
- John Carroll Leisure Centre (10) 39%

Part of the proposal would include moving some of the current children’s centre services into the local community. Which of the following would be your preferred buildings for these services? - Wollaton

- Wollaton Library (17) 74%
- St Leonard’s Centre (14) 61%
- Wollaton Muslim Community Centre (-)
Part of the proposal would include moving some of the current children’s centre services into the local community. Which of the following would be your preferred buildings for these services? - Dunkirk

- The Lenton Centre (3) 60%
- Thomas Helwys (2) 40%
- Dunkirk Community Centre (2) 40%
- Dunkirk School- Lenton Campus (-)
- The Arches (-)

Part of the proposal would include moving some of the current children’s centre services into the local community. Which of the following would be your preferred buildings for these services? - St Ann’s North

- The Valley Centre (13) 72%
- St Ann’s Play Centre (7) 39%
- Russell Youth Centre (-)

Part of the proposal would include moving some of the current children’s centre services into the local community. Which of the following would be your preferred buildings for these services? - St Ann’s South

- The Valley Centre (6) 86%
- St Ann’s Play Centre (-)
- Bluebell Hill Community Centre (2) 29%
- Russell Youth Centre (-)

Part of the proposal would include moving some of the current children’s centre services into the local community. Which of the following would be your preferred buildings for these services? - Clifton

- Park Gate Community Centre (11) 28%
- Clifton Young People’s Centre (Formerly Green) (25) 63%
- Clifton Cornerstone (28) 70%
- Clifton Library (18) 45%
Children's Centre Consultation

Would you like us to send you information on any of the following services?
TICK ALL THAT APPLY - PLEASE PROVIDE CONTACT INFORMATION AT Q26

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult Learning and Employment</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Learning Programme for 2 year Olds</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childminders Group</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwife</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Visitor</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Support</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children's activities including stay and Play, Wiggle and Giggle, watch me grow</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would not like Nottingham City Council to send me any further information</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do you have any other comments or suggestions about the Integrated School and Children’s Centre Partnership Proposal?

- Change needs to happen as currently feel centres are not used to full potential as staff do not offer enough services
- If it is not broke, then don't attempt to fix it.
- I think it will be a great idea to support schools more as some.
- Maybe more information can be passed on about out of hours activities as I have been looking for my 7yr old son and some of the information I received from sure start was wrong and there wasn't all the activities going on in clifton. I was also appalled that they can't help my son with his confidence building
- An L12 type of bus linking the different services and locations would be great, but I don't know how financially feasible that is
- The schools will use the buildings and they won't be community centres anymore- the schools will timetable them and feel that they have ownership of them. People will have to drive to Chn's Centres. I have a car but not everyone who accesses them will. I have my baby activities timetabled and know which I can go to each week. If you change the timetables or run a reduced service, then I may not be able to access all the sessions that I currently access.
- I'm on the board for Bestwood park community centre. I would be very interested in how we can support the delivery of community program's. Regards shona caulton- treasurer 07948274032
- More programmes for toddlers and early learning at these centres
- I think schools should be able to use the building to increase their intervention and support for local children.
- Maybe try reaching into the community more to get volunteers. I used to go to a SureStart playgroup which suddenly changed to a group to help 4+ kids with English. There is so little for mums with babies and toddlers in this area. I used to meet more of my community by going along to that group. Areas like Lenton need groups that will help to form a community at grass roots. Moving services out of Lenton just means families will move too.
- This is a very good proposal. All the best!
Do you have any other comments or suggestions about the Integrated School and Children’s Centre Partnership Proposal?

We like to drop in to the pop in and play session at our local centre. However lately the rooms have been booked so we have had to play in the reception area. I think the proposal is good. But advertised sessions still need to be available i.e Pop in and play, otherwise people get disheartened and the centre will not be utilised as much as it should be.

Comment overheard from a working class mum at Seely Primary as we watched all the middle class mums whose children would go to Haydn Primary (which still has its big playground) arrive for a session at the Sherwood Children's Centre (built on Seely Primary's working class children's playground): "They come here with their fancy prams and look through the fence at all our children squashed up in the playground with nothing to do and think "I'm never sending my child here". Playground space that

As the result of my regular attendance at SureStart play sessions in Sherwood and Hucknall, plus conversations with SureStart workers it appears that there is a huge problem in making male carers aware of the existence of activities for MALE CARERS & THEIR YOUNGSTERS, along with difficulties in getting them to attend these. It may be worthwhile focusing on the following facts much more clearly during efforts to promote or advertise these particular sessions: (a) that these sessions can be acces

It sounds like a cost cutting exercise that doesn't consider parents. It is not just the parents in the more deprived areas that need support, yet it already feels like you think it is, and this would make things worse.

Cutting back the options for parents and disseminating the places they happen at (which you call 'moving into the community' is actually shattering the hub of the community and the Place people feel safe and happy.

Cost cutting gone mad

It would be good if there was a better range of activities for younger children, and over different times of day, as so far every time I've looked at the timetable the only thing remotely accessible to me as the mother of a 6 month old is the sensory room, but that's not a drop-in option and I'm now working when it's on. Broxtowe Borough council on the other hand runs classes such as baby massage that I would have found useful.

No

Again, I would state that due to my role I am well aware of the importance of Children's Centres and therefore any proposal that ensures that they are kept open - albeit in a different way - is preferable to them being lost.

Committed to equality of opportunity? ?? You are still ALL a disgrace.

I think this is a great idea and gives a new prospect to the city. It'll help parents gain confidence to access the children centres knowing they are integrated with schools - the one thing that parents all think about!

NO

NO

NO

NONE

Need to form stronger partnership working.

ENSURE STRONG AND EFFECTIVE COMMUNICATION HAPPENS BETWEEN SCHOOLS AND CHILDRENS CENTRE TO ENSURE CONTINUITY

I USE THE BULWELL FOREST CHILDRENS CENTRE ON A WEEKLY BASIS AS IT IS CONVENIENT AND A NICE SETTING. SEEMS A SHAME THAT THE PROPOSAL IS NOT TO USE THIS LOCATION. I WOULD NOT ACCESS THE CRABTREE FARM CENTRE IN BULWELL, THE FACILITIES INSIDE ARE NICE BUT THE SURROUNDING AREA IS NOT
Do you have any other comments or suggestions about the Integrated School and Children’s Centre Partnership Proposal?

CHILDRENS CENTRES AND SCHOOLS SHOULD BE KEPT SEPARATE IT WILL CAUSE LESS CONFUSION

I FEEL THAT THE QUESTIONNAIRE SHOULD HAVE BEEN DONE BEFORE THE CLOSURE OF BASFORD’S CHILDRENS CENTRE

Using libraries to host some of the social/play activities is a great idea or community centres if libraries don’t have adequate space (e.g. Sherwood library is too small).

These buildings cost a lot to build, please do not allow them to be under used and become a complete waste of resources.

The services I have accessed have been fantastic and it would be a shame to lose them.

THE FOLLOWING POINTS SHOULD BE PUT INTO CONSIDERATION 1. HOW TO DO THIS IN A WAY IT WILL NOT AFFECT THE COMMUNITY  2. WHEN TO DO THIS IN A WAY IT WILL NOT AFFECT THE COMMUNITY  3. WHERE TO DO THIS IN A WAY IT WILL NOT AFFECT THE COMMUNITY

I think making use of existing community buildings is a brilliant idea and will help allow a wider range of activities and better accessibility.

Although I do not use the service at the moment, I will probably use it in the future if I have more children. I would also be interested in the adult learning.

Think it’s a great proposal

Maybe come round and approach the professionals that use the service for their opinions!

What about the centres not within the city boundary like my local 2 centres gedling and carlton

No

Living in Mapperley, closing st ann's north centre will only serve to isolate families in this area. It is not a good idea

Just nice to see family's getting help

I think you need to advertise your services more through flyering etc. I had to scour the internet to find activities in my area- once I found one a lady told me about another. If you had done flyering in and around radford I think I would have been made aware of the activities a lot quicker, rather than struggling on my own for the first few months of being a mum. Also many in radford will not have even heard of surestart etc or even have the internet and really need telling more. (I am well educated and hadn’t heard of it!) You could easily give out an activities timetable for the right postcode when people register the child at the council office. The services you offer are good and a lifeline for many parents (combatting loneliness for one!) so please increase advertisement to ensure people find out and attend activities.

Wollaton Children Centre fell short of my hopes and expectations. Lovely facility but hardly any activities suitable for my daughter - especially during school holidays. Not customer focused at all. This is clearly a way to reduce public spending, and raise funds from schools.

To keep sure start St. Anne north open for stay and play sessions!

we should still recieve the same services

LONGER SESSION TIMES

WORKING IN SCHOOLS IS A GOOD IDEA BUT I DON'T AGREE WITH LOSING THE CENTRES

MORE CHOICES

LOVELY STAFF

NICE LOCAL CENTRE

I understand that funding is low but the children of St Anns need a decent playgroup with a good play area. Walter Halls offers this. We need this center to stay for activities.
Do you have any other comments or suggestions about the Integrated School and Children’s Centre Partnership Proposal?

- I hope it doesn’t lead to closure of essential services
- I like it the way it is
- As long as nothing changes with the group sessions then everything is a good idea.
- No
- Accessibility via car or walking
- I think the move would affect a lot of parents
- It is vital that services remain
- Short term solution
- Helpful
- Just that you put the children first and not the teachers.
- No
- I think these are important for our community
- When the changes happen communicating to local groups is essential.
- No
- Please don’t close them. Make them more accessible
- Teenage group teenage youth clubs
- No
- More to be catered for families who have a child/children with disabilities, in particular physical disability
- Keep Friday Stay and Play at Walter Halls.
- Limiting access for some service users. I feel Walter Halls is a valuable and well serviced centre.
- I feel that you are isolating some who cannot travel to the other centres.
- I think it will alienate some people.
- It is a common sense approach to efficiency
- I hope this means more primary schools in the area can be made outstanding. I think doing more interactive things can help engage children and help them learn going to a special place for a special lesson on anything history, geography, English means the lesson is more memorably as its more exciting - so definitely a good idea I wish you lots of good luck with it all.
- It will be sad for the area.
- Keep Aspley
- The schools will not support the centres to benefit all
- Toy library are fab
- Toy library has suffered the most
- Enjoy going to the local centre
- The Ridge to be open all week. Use the Ridge more even during school time for families
- Easier to access with disabled children
- Still trying to understand the concept
- Will the current buildings be fully utilized? Would children and family services be able to lease back space?
Do you have any other comments or suggestions about the Integrated School and Children’s Centre Partnership Proposal?

IN WOLLATON SCHOOLS DO NOT OFFER PRE SCHOOL ACTIVITIES (APART FROM NURSEY AT MIDDLETON SCHOOL). INTEGRATION IS SUCCESSFUL WILL BE POSITIVE AS IT WILL ENCOURAGE YOUNGER CHILDREN TO HAVE GREATER VISIBILITY OF A SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT.

Please tick the statement which best describes you.
I am:

- Male (43) 8%
- Female (463) 90%
- Prefer not to say (7) 1%

Please tick the statement which best describes you.
I am:

- Under 16 (2) 0%
- 16 to 24 (72) 14%
- 25 to 34 (255) 50%
- 35 to 44 (138) 27%
- 45 to 54 (28) 6%
- 55 to 64 (6) 1%
- 65 to 74 (6) 1%
- 75+ (-)
- Prefer not to say (6) 1%

Are your day to day activities limited because of a health problem or disability which has lasted, or is expected to last, at least 12 months?

- Yes, limited a lot (12) 2%
- Yes, limited a little (39) 8%
- No (432) 86%
- Prefer not to say (19) 4%
Please tick the statement which best describes you.

I am:

Heterosexual or Straight (462) 92%
Gay woman/Lesbian (4) 1%
Gay man (-) 0%
Bisexual (2) 0%
Other (5) 1%
Prefer not to say (30) 6%

What is your religion or belief, even if you are not currently practicing?

I am:

No religion or belief (239) 47%
Buddhist (4) 1%
Christian (including Church of England, Catholic, Protestant and all other Christian denominations) (187) 37%
Hindu (4) 1%
Jewish (-) 1%
Muslim (28) 6%
Sikh (4) 1%
Prefer not to say (30) 6%
Any other religion or belief, please state (13) 3%
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other, please state</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiritual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greek Orthodox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pantheist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pagan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nottinghamshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nottinghamshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nottinghamshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nottinghamshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>notts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nottinghamshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nottingham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I believe in JUSTICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.D.S (MORMON) THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATER DAY SAINTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahá’í</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAGAN/SPRITUALIST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nottingham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nottinghamshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White - English, Welsh, Scottish, Northern Irish, British</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White - Irish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White - Gypsy Traveller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White - Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed - White &amp; Black Caribbean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed - White &amp; Black African</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed - White &amp; Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed - Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian - Indian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian - Pakistani</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian - Bangladeshi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian - Kashmiri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian - Chinese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian - Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black - African</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black - Caribbean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black - Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>