Delegated Decision Making Form (Property Delegations 232 - 251 only - Executive Officer Decisions)

REF NO 2188 Constitutional Services Use Only

Decision Type	Officer				
Department	Development				
Subject	1 Beckhampton Road, Bestwood Park, Nottingham NG5 5SP				
Decision	Subject to call-in: Yes If NOT subject to call-in				
	Total value of decision: £see exempt appendix				
	Revenue or Capital: Revenue				
Decision taken	Release of restrictive covenant as per the terms set out in the exempt appendix.				
Exempt Decision	Yes - appendix only				
Reason for exemption (including public interest reason)	The additional information sheet attached to this decision is exempt from publication under paragraph 3 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972 because it contains information relating to the financial or business affairs of a particular person (including the authority holding the information).				
Other options considered (with reasons for rejecting options not favoured)	Release covenant to use land only as a garden (chosen option) Continue to negotiate. This option was rejected as acceptable terms have been agreed with the applicant.				
Reason for Decision	The land was sold freehold some years ago to be used as a garden extension. The usual restrictive covenants were put in place to ensure the use of the land. This covenant prevents the land owner from building on the land unless we are compensated for the uplift in the land value.				
Affected Wards	Bestwood				
Advice sought	Yes No Legal □ ⊠				

Finance

Human Resources

Other (please specify)

Grants Team

Procurement

Equality & Community Relations Team

Voluntary and Community Sector

×

X

X

X

X

X

X

Details of severilestions	Portfolio Holder	Yes .	No ⊠	Name and Date			
Details of consultations undertaken	Ward Councillors		X				
unuertaken	Area Committee		X				
	Other Council Bodies		×	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Corp. Directors Affected		×				
	Trades Unions		\mathbf{X}	05 25			
	Minority Group		X				
	Others (Specify)		X				
	Reasons for not consulting						
	There has been no express consultation about this decision, but						
	regular meetings take place with the Portfolio Holder and Director of						
	Strategic Asset and Property Management on general property matters, which may include this matter. The Decision relates to a day to day business matter where further consultation is not deemed appropriate.						
Consultation outcomes	N/A						
	The applicant is the current landowner. They have been approached by						
Background to the	a local builder to purchase						
decision		plot. In order for this sale to go ahead the Council has been					
	approached in order to agree terms. In order to remove the covenant						
decision							
ucol3lo11	we have negotiated terms that						
Declared interests							
Declared interests	we have negotiated terms that	it are fi	nancially	beneficial to the Council.			
Declared interests Dispensation by	we have negotiated terms that	t are fi	nancially	beneficial to the Council.			
Declared interests	we have negotiated terms that	t are fi	nancially	beneficial to the Council.			
Declared interests Dispensation by	we have negotiated terms that None Date: N/A	Dis	nancially spensation	beneficial to the Council.			
Declared interests Dispensation by Standards Committee	we have negotiated terms that	Dis	nancially spensation	beneficial to the Council.			
Declared interests Dispensation by	None Date: N/A Has the equality impact of the NO – Not required	Dis Re	spensation ference: I	beneficial to the Council. n N/A assessed?			
Declared interests Dispensation by Standards Committee Equalities	None Date: N/A Has the equality impact of the	Dis Re	spensation ference: I	beneficial to the Council. n N/A assessed?			
Declared interests Dispensation by Standards Committee	None Date: N/A Has the equality impact of the NO – Not required	Dis Re decis	spensation ference: I	beneficial to the Council. n N/A assessed?			
Declared interests Dispensation by Standards Committee Equalities Social Value	None Date: N/A Has the equality impact of the NO – Not required YES – equality impact assess	Dis Re decisi	spensation ference: I	beneficial to the Council. n N/A assessed? Elate to this Decision.			
Declared interests Dispensation by Standards Committee Equalities Social Value implications Crime and Disorder	None Date: N/A Has the equality impact of the NO – Not required YES – equality impact assess There are no Social Value Impact are no Crime and Diso	Dis Re decisi	spensation ference: I ion been attached ons that re	beneficial to the Council. n N/A assessed? Elate to this Decision. s that relate to this			
Declared interests Dispensation by Standards Committee Equalities Social Value implications Crime and Disorder implications	None Date: N/A Has the equality impact of the NO – Not required YES – equality impact assess There are no Social Value Impact are no Crime and Disordecision	Dis Re decision e decision e decision pers with	spensation ference: I ion been attached ons that re aplications	beneficial to the Council. n N/A assessed? elate to this Decision. s that relate to this Decision relies upon.			

Uniform / Property Ref (if applicable)	None					
Contact Person	Jeremy Bryce Estates Surveyor jeremy.bryce@nottinghamcity.gov.uk			Ext: 63082		
Scheme of Delegation Reference Number	246 – Release of Covenants					
Property manager	Jeremy Bryce	JR	Date:	28.09.2015		
Signature		Suffer.				
Director	Kevin Shutter	KELT	C Date:	28.09.2015		
Signature	,	KSWN	Date.	20.00.2010		

To be completed by Constitutional Services

Date published: 30/09/2015

Last date for Call-in: 07/10/2015