## Delegated Decision Making Form (Property Delegations 232 - 251 only - Executive Officer Decisions)

REF NO

2511

Constitutional Services Use Only

Decision Type	Officer					
Department	Development					
Subject	Unit 14 Faraday Building, Nottingham Science & Technology Park, University Boulevard, Nottingham, NG7 2QP					
Decision	Subject to call-in: No					
	If NOT subject to call-in Reason and who consulted: n/a					
	Total value of decision: see exempt Appendix attached					
	Revenue or Capital: Revenue / Capital					
Decision taken	To approve the letting on the terms as contained within the exempt appendix.					
Exempt Decision	Not applicable as total value of the decision is less than £50,000. Yes - appendix only					
Reason for exemption (including public interest reason)	Not Applicable					
	The additional information sheet attached to this decision is exempt from publication under paragraph 3 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972 because it contains information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding the information) because it reveals the Council's negotiating position.					
Other options considered (with reasons for rejecting options not favoured)	To continue to market the premises 'to let'. This option was rejected as acceptable lease terms have been agreed with the prospective tenant.					
Reason for Decision	The rental figure which is to be achieved upon re-letting the property is comparable with rents achieved for other premises within this development.					
Affected Wards	Lenton and Dunkirk					
Advice sought	Yes No					
	Finance □ ⊠  Human Resources □ ⊠  Equality & Community Relations Team □ ⊠					
	Voluntary and Community Sector □ ⊠					

**Grants Team** 

**Procurement** 

Other (please specify)

X

X

X

		Yes	No	Name and Date			
Details of consultations	Portfolio Holder		X				
undertaken	Ward Councillors		X				
	Area Committee		×				
	Other Council Bodies		X				
	Corp. Directors Affected		X				
	Trades Unions		X				
	Minority Group		X				
	Others (Specify)		X				
	Reasons for not consulting There has been no express consultation about this decision, but regular mee take place with the Portfolio Holder and Director of Strategic Asset and Propension Management on general property matters, which may include this matter. Decision relates to a day to day business matter where further consultation is deemed appropriate.						
Consultation outcomes	N/A						
Background to the decision	The property is presently vacant, satisfactory lease terms have been agreed with the prospective tenant.						
			. 4				
Declared interests	None						
Dispensation by Standards Committee	Date: N/A		spensatior eference: N				
Standards Committee		Re	erence: r	V/A			
	Has the equality impact of the	e decis	ion been a	assessed?			
m. Apa.	( las allo squality impast of allo assisted assistant assistant						
Equalities	NO – Not required ⊠ YES – equality impact assessment attached □						
Social Value	There are no Social Value Implications that relate to this Decision.						
implications							
Crime and Disorder implications	There are no Crime and Disorder Implications that relate to this Decision						
Background Papers	There are no Background Papers which this Decision relies upon.						
Published documents	There are no Published Documents that have been relied upon to make this Decision.						

Uniform / Property Ref (if applicable)	03753/06			
Contact Person	Bevis Mackie Bevis.mackie .uk	Prottinghamcity.gov	Contact No.	Ext:63635
Scheme of Delegation Reference Number	237. Council ov	vned land and Prope	rty – grant of tena	ncies and leases
Portfolio & Investment Manager	Pippa Hall	arllA	Date	e: [6/6/16
Signature		PLAN		6/6//6
Director of Strategic Assets & Property	Kevin Shutter	Kishoit	Date	17/6/16
Signature		/(		•

## To be completed by Constitutional Services

Date published: 17/06/16

Last date for Call-in: N/a

This page blank