#### MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL

held at the Council Chamber - at the Council House

on 3 March 2014 from 14.00 to 17.13

#### ATTENDANCES:

- ✓ Councillor Merlita Bryan (Lord Mayor)
- ✓ Councillor Liagat Ali
- ✓ Councillor Cat Arnold
- ✓ Councillor Mohammed Aslam
- Councillor Alex Ball
- Councillor Steve Battlemuch
- Councillor Eunice Campbell Councillor Graham Chapman
- √ Councillor Azad Choudhry
- ✓ Councillor Alan Clark
- ✓ Councillor Jon Collins
- √ Councillor Georgina Culley
- ✓ Councillor Emma Dewinton
- √ Councillor Michael Edwards
- ✓ Councillor Chris Gibson
- ✓ Councillor Brian Grocock
- Councillor John Hartshorne
- Councillor Rosemary Healy
- Councillor Nicola Heaton
- Councillor Mohammed Ibrahim
- ✓ Councillor Glyn Jenkins
- √ Councillor Sue Johnson
- √ Councillor Carole-Ann Jones
- √ Councillor Alex Norris
- ✓ Councillor Gul Nawaz Khan
- ✓ Councillor Neghat Nawaz Khan
- Councillor Ginny Klein
- Councillor Dave Liversidge

- ✓ Councillor Sally Longford
- ✓ Councillor Carole McCulloch
- Councillor Nick McDonald
- Councillor lan Malcolm
- Councillor David Mellen
- Councillor Thulani Molife Councillor Eileen Morley
- Councillor Jackie Morris
- Councillor Toby Neal
- ✓ Councillor Bill Ottewell Councillor Jeannie Packer
- Councillor Brian Parbutt
- ✓ Councillor Anne Peach
- ✓ Councillor Sarah Piper
- ✓ Councillor Mohammed Saghir
- ✓ Councillor David Smith
- ✓ Councillor Wendy Smith
- Councillor Timothy Spencer
- Councillor Roger Steel
- Councillor Dave Trimble
- Councillor Leon Unczur
- ✓ Councillor Jane Urquhart Councillor Marcia Watson
- ✓ Councillor Sam Webster
- ✓ Councillor Michael Wildgust
- ✓ Councillor Malcolm Wood

√ Indicates present at meeting

# 89 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Councillor Azad Choudhry – leave

Councillor Emma Dewinton – notified the meeting she had to leave at 4pm for another commitment

Councillor Eileen Morley – non-Council business

Councillor Jackie Morris - personal

Councillor Marcia Watson – non-Council business

## 90 <u>DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS</u>

Councillor Brian Parbutt declared an interest under section 12 of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989 in item 8, the report of the Deputy Leader on the Pay Policy Statement 2014/15, as an employee of a local government trade union, and withdrew from the meeting for the duration of the item.

Councillor Sam Webster declared a discloseable interest in item 10, the report of the Deputy Leader on the Budget 2014/15, as an employee of Nottinghamshire Futures. Councillor Webster would not be involved in any discussion or vote specifically relating to this organisation therefore he remained in the Chamber for the duration of this item.

### 91 QUESTIONS AND PETITIONS FROM CITIZENS

#### **Questions from citizens**

No questions from citizens were received.

#### Petitions from councillors on behalf of citizens

Councillor Wendy Smith submitted a petition on behalf of 760 signatories supporting the development of a discount supermarket in Bilborough.

#### 92 MINUTES

The minutes of the meeting held on 27 January 2014 were confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Lord Mayor.

## 93 OFFICIAL COMMUNICATIONS

The Chief Executive reported the following:

#### **LGC Awards**

Nottingham City Council has been shortlisted for a Local Government Chronicle Award for its work on 'Innovation in Commissioning.' The winner will be announced on 12 March in London.

#### Jim Taylor

The death of Jim Taylor, who passed away on 21 February, aged 65. Jim joined the Authority in the late 1960s as a Town Planner, rising through the ranks to become Director of Development.

During his time as Director, Jim led the department through some of the largest regeneration projects the city has seen, such as the NET scheme, the redevelopment of Old Market Square and the renaissance of the Lace Market. More recently, in retirement he was involved with the Forest Recreation Masterplan redevelopment.

Although Jim retired aged 49 following ill health, he continued to be active in the community helping set up Nottingham Ambassadors, and promoting Nottingham nationally and internationally, particularly with our twin city of Karlsruhe. His funeral will take place on Wednesday 5 March at St Mary's Church at 2pm.

The Lord Mayor, Councillors Trimble, Malcolm and Culley spoke in tribute to Jim Taylor. The Council stood in silent tribute to Jim Taylor.

## 94 QUESTIONS

#### Free or discount tram travel

Councillor Roger Steel asked the following question of the Portfolio Holder for Planning and Transportation:

What discussions, negotiations and agreements have been made with Tramlink regarding free or discounted travel for people affected by tram works?

Councillor Jane Urquhart replied as follows:

Thank you Lord Mayor and thank you Councillor Steel for your question. In previous Council meetings I know that some on our benches have sometimes indicated that we think that Conservatives' questions come perhaps from the Nottingham Post – something is a headline one week then a question the next. Now, of course in the case of this question, that pattern is followed again but with a bit of a twist and that twist of course is that it was the Labour candidate in the Clifton by-election who has pledged to campaign for concessions to be offered to people affected by tram works.

It was the current Labour councillor, our Sheriff, Councillor Ian Malcolm who, at the tram briefing in late January, made clear that he was already taking practical steps to try and secure such concessions. These things were picked up by the Nottingham Post who reported the candidate, Pat Ferguson's pledge and reported on that meeting so, it is really good to see that the Conservatives are catching up, following where positive Labour politics has led. It is a very sensible pledge to help people not only in Clifton, but in other areas affected by tram works, to campaign, to do our best and to secure something for those people in terms of their use of the tram once it is built.

That is why I support the idea and that is why Councillor Malcolm who, standing up for his residents, took practical steps in suggesting that he might use some of his

ward budget to match any offers from Tramlink. He is a person who is making real progress here. That is an example of a councillor working positively in his ward and being willing to use his ward budget to achieve something very worthwhile. In Councillor Malcolm, I know I have a staunch supporter of the whole tram project.

Councillor Steel was also at the tram briefing in January and will recall Councillor Malcolm's question about offers for local people and the very positive response which was given by Tramlink at that meeting and that response of course, is the one that is important, because, and again I'm sure that Councillor Steel is aware of this, the NET contract passes operational risk to Tramlink who are responsible for setting of fares and marketing the tram system. Tramlink of course, will be keen to ensure that people in areas served by the new lines who have experienced disruption while the works have been taking place will be able to recognise the benefits the tram will bring to their area and I'm sure Tramlink will want to incentivise them to use this new high quality transport system in their area.

It is fully anticipated that Tramlink will undertake a wide variety of marketing initiatives and introductory offers and we are discussing those with Tramlink as we move towards the exciting operational phase of the tram. Of course, there would be no tram offers for Clifton if the Conservatives had their way because there would be no tram. There would not be those 1,200 people employed on the project and working now in our city. There would not be the £20 million of contracts for our local firms. There would not be the young people undertaking apprenticeships on the scheme. However, it is always pleasing to welcome converts to the cause and I know that despite city Conservatives' opposition that has never really gone away, you are still happy to use the tram and of course, your Government is a keen supporter so perhaps their views are filtering through at last.

As Councillor Steel will be aware significant progress is being made on all sections of the new tram lines to Clifton, Beeston and Chilwell. Over 90% of utility diversions are now complete and construction of the track is well under way with 5 kilometres now laid. The main structures at the Station, Queen's Medical Centre, the University, across the Trent at Wilford and at Fairham Brook are complete and 8 new trams are being delivered. Testing and commissioning of new lines is expected to start shortly and in fact, the first trams for testing purposes are expected to travel over the station and into the Meadows and Councillor Steel's ward at Wilford in the early summer. With this level of progress, this level of investment and this level of employment created I am proud that we are building the tram.

I am of course, at the same time aware of the disturbance that construction causes to people living and working, not only in Clifton, but Wilford, the Meadows, parts of Dunkirk and Lenton and Beeston and Chilwell. I will also continue to apologise for the disturbance that is caused. As we move towards the operational phase of the new lines, I will also continue to work with Tramlink to enable as many people as possible affected by the new tram lines to really feel its benefits and to use it from day one. Those conversations have begun and I know that myself and Councillor Malcolm are willing to take practical action to make those positive offers a reality and I know that the Labour candidate in Clifton North will, if elected, work hard on this too.

It might be harder for the Conservatives to secure such benefits though because they would first have to find the money to carry on building the tram because they have

continued to say that they would get rid of the Workplace Parking Levy, which would leave a very big hole in their finances and would cost all of those people in the whole of the city, those affected by the tram works and those not affected, approximately £150 each in additional Council tax.

So, yes there are discussions ongoing, yes, I support the idea of special tram travel offers being made to those that have experienced at close hand the disruption of the construction phase and yes, I will work hard to achieve that with positive dialogue with Tramlink whose responsibility it will be to set fares and make ticketing arrangements. I will do that from a standpoint of whole-hearted support for the tram project, the economic and employment boost it gives our city and because it is the right thing to do. I am glad that the Conservatives are catching up with Labour policies, that they are reading our material, and even the Post, for their inspiration.

## **Nottingham Greener Housing Scheme**

Councillor Wendy Smith asked the following question of the Portfolio Holder for Energy and Sustainability:

British Gas recently pulled out of the Nottingham Greener Housing Scheme to provide cladding for up to 5000 homes in Clifton. Other areas, including my own ward of Bilborough, were hoping to benefit from this scheme, which helps to reduce energy bills as well as improving the outward appearance of our homes. Can the Portfolio Holder for Energy and Sustainability give us any hope that this worthwhile and much needed scheme will continue?

Councillor Alan Clark responded as follows:

Thank you Lord Mayor and I thank Councillor Smith for her question. Unfortunately at this stage we cannot say with any certainty that the scheme will continue. The proposed change in Energy Company Obligation (ECO) has left doubts over many schemes for which we had or were about to secure ECO funding. This is particularly the case for Solid Wall Insulation.

The Government changes in December have opened up the ability to fund cheaper carbon measures such as loft and cavity wall insulation and this guarantees a drop in solid wall insulations. We had confidence in the previous Government scheme that Clifton would be the first phase in a citywide project on a street by street basis and we had shared the outline of our approach with Government. This was all outlined in the Executive Board report last July.

Our case for the street by street approach was that you could have a stable price in each scheme with similar property types, that different specialist contractors are needed in each area and that localised projects give an area a lift in a way that we have not seen since the Housing Action Areas and General Improvement Areas of the early 1980's.

I would like to stress that we are doing all we can to look at alternative solutions for delivery. We currently have a £9.92 million Community Green Deal bid being considered by DECC (Department of Energy and Climate Change). If we were to be successful, this would allow us to insulate a further 2,370 properties, which would

include Bilborough. DECC are also looking to promote a £4000 Green Deal cashback. The detail around this offer is still to be confirmed, but it will provide some financial assistance towards solid wall insulation, but not where ECO money has been used.

On a final note, I would also like to add that we are in continuous discussion with a number of utilities about ECO funding and we are hopeful that Nottingham with our track record in area based delivery programmes will be successful in securing ECO on future schemes.

#### **Portland Leisure Centre**

Councillor Toby Neal asked the following question of the Portfolio Holder for Leisure and Culture:

Could the Portfolio Holder for Leisure and Culture give Council the latest on the handover of Portland Leisure Centre to community organisations, and remind Council of the financial savings this will have for Council?

Councillor Dave Trimble replied as follows:

Thank you Lord Mayor and can I start by thanking Councillor Neal for his question.

Exactly two years ago as part of the budget process we took the difficult decision to seek to transfer Portland Leisure Centre to a third party organisation. As a result, we took an expression of interest process with two very clear Council objectives: firstly, to ensure the long term sustainability of sport and leisure provision for the Meadows community in the Portland building and secondly, to release the Council from future financing and continued subsidy. Much easier said than done.

So, I am delighted to say that just last month after considerable efforts and energy by both Council officers and the Notts County Football in the Community Trust we were able to confirm a transfer agreement and the papers were signed.

In fact, I can confirm that today, Notts County Football in the Community along with their partner - The Lenton Centre, will take over the operations at the centre in a shadowing arrangement with Council staff. We will relocate the Council staff to other leisure centres at the end of this month and the new operators will take sole control. A new Portland website has gone live and we are confident that we have planned the smoothest possible of transfers we can to ensure minimal disruption to existing users.

This is great news, but it has taken nearly 2 years of intensive work to develop a plan that allows both organisations a real chance to develop a new offer for the site and with a sustainable business plan for future operations. We have worked very closely with Notts County in the Community in order to help attract significant external funding. Over £1.1 million has been secured with grant awards coming from the Premier League Facility Fund, Sport England as well as BIFFA and this investment will help support the exciting plans that the trust have for the centre in the coming years.

In the near future, this finance will be used for refurbishment of the site including significant energy efficiency measures in order to make it sustainable. Returning to the original objectives that we set for the transfer process, I can therefore confirm that as a result of this successful agreement the Council will be able to deliver its financial saving of £207,000 a year and we also believe that it will also deliver a long term sport and leisure offer to the local community for the future. Lord Mayor, I would like to put my thanks on record. An asset transfer of this size was a pretty tough task indeed, especially as it was to charitable and community organisations. I would also like to thank both organisations for their commitment to the Meadows community and their commitment to delivering affordable sport in this city. Thank you.

## **Events in Nottingham**

Councillor Sarah Piper asked the following question of the Portfolio Holder for Leisure and Culture:

Would the Portfolio Holder for Leisure and Culture update Council on the wide breadth of recent events in Nottingham, including securing two world class tennis tournaments?

Councillor Dave Trimble replied as follows:

Thank you Lord Mayor and can I thank Councillor Piper for her question. Nottingham has a strong history of attracting and hosting major sporting and cultural events but they are becoming ever more difficult as budgets tighten. Nevertheless, over the next couple of years we have got some mouth watering events for the city residents and visitors to both watch and participate in.

Looking back we have had the Torch Relay and the Queen's visit brought massive crowds to Old Market Square which is exactly what it was designed for, large events. Last year, we hosted the National Armed Forces Day and the eyes of the world were on Nottingham for the Ashes Test Match at Trent Bridge. This year we are delighted again to be hosting the Milk Race around the city centre on 24/25 May and we helped last year to bring the event back after 20 years when it didn't happen at all. This event will have an elite men's and women's race on the same day alongside a full festival of cycling for all the family to enjoy with races for different age groups going around the exact same route that the professionals will ride down later on. Last year 70,000 spectators saw Olympic Gold Medallist Dani King win the women's event and Felix English the men's race.

We again have international cricket coming in July with Trent Bridge, the first Test Match against India, who will then return at the end of August to complete in a One Day International. Just nine days ago, the Leader and I went to the English National Cross Country Championships at Wollaton Park, held there for the first time. It was an amazing sight to see over 10,000 athletes and spectators enjoy the fabulous park and with 10 races, seeing over 1,700 runners which is the most we have ever had, breaking records galore.

If you haven't yet seen the exhibition at Nottingham Castle by Jeremy Deller, a Turner Prize winner, then do get down to see it. He is on until 10 April and I think it is a great exhibition. On Friday night we had Light Night which was fantastic value for

money with over 30 music, dancing and community groups giving their time commitment and passion, completely free of charge.

What is really fantastic though is the exciting calendar of international events that are being developed for 2015 and 2016. Last month I was able to announce that we will be hosting the Men's ATP 250 tournament and the Women's WTA international tournament at the Nottingham Tennis Centre; two highly prestigious grass court tournaments in which the Lawn Tennis Association will invest somewhere around £2 million into Nottingham. I would like to personally thank Paul Hutchins, the ex-Davis Cup captain for his support in delivering this to Nottingham.

Players from the world's top 50 men and women tennis players will compete between the French Open and Wimbledon here in Nottingham in order to get grass court practice. The \$250,000 prize money for the Women's competition will attract some of the world's very best players. These are world class events that will provide an international profile for Nottingham. They will also provide a fantastic spectacle for local people and we will work closely with the LTA and other partners to develop a significant 'Festival of Tennis' programme where we can take hundreds of Nottingham young people in Nottingham through to play tennis and actually go and see the tournaments themselves.

The Ashes and the Aussies will return again in 2015 and having staged the National Archery Championships in 2013 we have been successful in our bid to host the 2016 World Archery Europe Outdoor Target Championship. We are planning to have the heats out at Highfields and for the final we are planning on putting an amphitheatre straight down in the middle of Old Market Square with seats along the side, which will prove a fantastic spectacle. I have no doubt that our own Robin Hood will have a part to play there as well.

Finally, whilst it is premature to announce anything further at this stage, I can confirm that we are actively in negotiations for a number of additional organisers of additional world class events that will ensure that Nottingham maintains its reputation as a great city for events and sport.

### 95 DECISIONS TAKEN UNDER URGENCY PROCEDURES

The Leader submitted a report, as set out on pages 21 to 24 of the agenda.

#### RESOLVED to note the urgent decisions taken, as follows:

# (1) <u>Urgent decisions (exempt from call-in)</u>

Ref	Date of decision	Subject	Value	Reasons for urgency
1254	09/01/14	Adults Care Package (with continuing care contribution)	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
1261	09/01/14	Dispose freehold of property at Gervase Gardens, Clifton	Exempt	To allow for a timely disposal of the freehold property.

Ref	Date of decision	Subject	Value	Reasons for urgency
1264	24/01/14	Grant option over Base 51 and associated land	Exempt	To allow for a timely conclusion to the option over Base 51
1279	29/01/14	Approval of the costs of an Adults Care Package	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
1280	29/01/14	Approval of the costs of an Adults Care Package	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
1281	29/01/14	Approval of the costs of an Adults Care Package	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.
1305	10/02/14	Funding to tackle rogue Landlords	£124,000	Due to time constraints, the Council may be in danger of being unable to start the project and losing the money.
1314	14/02/14	Approval of the costs of a placement for a child in care	Exempt	To allow for a timely implementation of the decision.

# 96 PAY POLICY STATEMENT 2014/15

The Deputy Leader submitted a report, as set out on pages 25 to 86 of the agenda. The Deputy Leader reported that, since the publication of the agenda, the Appointments and Conditions of Service Committee agreed to increase the Living Wage supplement to £7.65 per hour.

#### **RESOLVED** to

- (1) approve and endorse the Council's Pay Policy Statement for 2014/15, subject to the increase in the Living Wage supplement to £7.65 per hour;
- (2) note that the statement may need to be amended in-year for any necessary changes the Council may wish to adopt;
- (3) present any such changes to Council for approval.

## 97 TREASURY MANAGEMENT 2014/15 STRATEGY

The Deputy Leader submitted a report, as set out on pages 87 to 120 of the agenda.

#### **RESOLVED** to

(1) approve the 2014/15 Treasury Management Strategy document, including the strategy for debt repayment and the investment strategy, as detailed in Appendix 1 (Annex 1);

# (2) approve the Prudential Indicators and limits from 2013/14 to 2016/17, as detailed in Appendix 1 (Annex 1).

# 98 **BUDGET 2014/15**

The Deputy Leader submitted a report, as set out on pages 121 to 141 of the agenda.

Moved by Councillor Georgina Culley by way of an amendment and seconded by Councillor Roger Steel that:

In recommendation 2.1 (1) add after "the revenue budget for 2014/15"

"subject to the following:-

	Net 2014/15 £
The proposed Marketing & Communications budget is reduced by:  • the removal of the corporate, internal and one-off funding budgets delivering a part year saving of £149,908 (full year saving of £275,108)	-218,742
<ul> <li>a reduction of 10% in the departmental communications and marketing budget delivering a part year saving of £68,834 (full year saving of £91,779)</li> </ul>	
The cessation of payments for Trade Unions delivering a part year saving of £154,987 (full year saving of £309,974)	-154,987
The number of Portfolio Holders is reduced by 2 delivering a full year saving of £36,261	-36,261
Following the recalling of the Independent Remuneration Panel to consider the proposal, the removal of the Special Responsibility Allowance for Executive Assistants delivering a full year saving of £29,243	-29,243
The proposed Annual Events budgets, other than for Goose Fair and Old Market Square, are reviewed to ensure all events are delivered on a self financing basis with a consequent part year saving of £128,662 (full year saving of £171,550)	-128,662
Proposing a 0% council tax increase and accepting the Government's offer of a council tax freeze grant equivalent to a 1.0% increase for 2014/15	-1,073,808
TOTAL NET FINANCIAL IMPACT	1,641,703"

And amend the following recommendations as indicated:

- In recommendation 2.1 (3) (a) substitute £949,726,369 for £950,524,607;
- In recommendation 2.1 (3) (b) substitute £865,532,795 for £864,689,330;
- In recommendation 2.1 (3) (c) substitute £84,193,574 for £85,835,277;

- In recommendation 2.1 (4) substitute £1,404.42 for £1,431.80
- In recommendation 2.1 (6) amend Members' Allowance Scheme for 2014/15 by removing the Special Responsibility Allowance for Executive Assistants

And amend the following sections as indicated:

- In section 5.2 substitute £84,193,574 for £85,835,277 and £1,404.42 for £1,431.80
- In section 5.3 and 5.6 substitute the following basic amounts of council tax for the ones shown in the report:

Band	Basic Amount of
	Council Tax
Α	£936.28
В	£1,092.33
С	£1,248.37
D	£1,404.42
E	£1,716.51
F	£2,028.61
G	£2,340.70
Н	£2,808.84

• In section 5.6 substitute the following aggregate council taxes for those shown in the report:

Band	Aggregate
Α	£1,098.97
В	£1,282.13
С	£1,465.29
D	£1,648.45
E	£2,014.77
F	£2,381.10
G	£2,747.42
Н	£3,296.90

After a discussion, the amendment was put to a recorded vote, as detailed below and not carried:

	For	Agst	Abst		For	Agst	Abst
Councillor Bryan (Lord							
Mayor)		$\checkmark$		Councillor Longford		$\checkmark$	
Councillor Ali		$\checkmark$		Councillor McCulloch		$\checkmark$	
Councillor Arnold		$\checkmark$		Councillor McDonald		$\checkmark$	
Councillor Aslam		$\checkmark$		Councillor Malcolm		$\checkmark$	
Councillor Ball		$\checkmark$		Councillor Mellen		$\checkmark$	
Councillor Battlemuch		$\checkmark$		Councillor Molife		$\checkmark$	

Councillor Campbell	$\checkmark$	Councillor Morley		
Councillor Chapman	$\checkmark$	Councillor Morris		
Councillor Choudhry		Councillor Neal		$\checkmark$
Councillor Clark	$\checkmark$	Councillor Norris		$\checkmark$
Councillor Collins	$\checkmark$	Councillor Ottewell		$\checkmark$
Councillor Culley	$\checkmark$	Councillor Packer		
Councillor Dewinton	$\checkmark$	Councillor Parbutt		$\checkmark$
Councillor Edwards	$\checkmark$	Councillor Peach		$\checkmark$
Councillor Gibson	$\checkmark$	Councillor Piper		$\checkmark$
Councillor Grocock	$\checkmark$	Councillor Saghir		$\checkmark$
Councillor Hartshorne	$\checkmark$	Councillor D Smith		$\checkmark$
Councillor Healy	$\checkmark$	Councillor W Smith		$\checkmark$
Councillor Heaton	$\checkmark$	Councillor Spencer	$\checkmark$	
Councillor Ibrahim	$\checkmark$	Councillor Steel	$\checkmark$	
Councillor Jenkins	$\checkmark$	Councillor Trimble		$\checkmark$
Councillor Johnson	$\checkmark$	Councillor Unczur		$\checkmark$
Councillor Jones	$\checkmark$	Councillor Urquhart		$\checkmark$
Councillor G Khan	$\checkmark$	Councillor Watson		
Councillor N Khan	$\checkmark$	Councillor Webster		$\checkmark$
Councillor Klein	$\checkmark$	Councillor Wildgust		$\checkmark$
Councillor Liversidge	$\checkmark$	Councillor Wood		$\checkmark$

Following a discussion, the report of the Deputy Leader was put to a recorded vote, as follows:

	For	Agst	Abst		For	Agst	Abst
Councillor Bryan (Lord	✓						
Mayor)	,			Councillor Longford	<b>√</b>		
Councillor Ali	<b>√</b>			Councillor McCulloch	$\checkmark$		
Councillor Arnold	$\checkmark$			Councillor McDonald	$\checkmark$		
Councillor Aslam	$\checkmark$			Councillor Malcolm	$\checkmark$		
Councillor Ball	$\checkmark$			Councillor Mellen	$\checkmark$		
Councillor Battlemuch	$\checkmark$			Councillor Molife	$\checkmark$		
Councillor Campbell				Councillor Morley			
Councillor Chapman	$\checkmark$			Councillor Morris			
Councillor Choudhry				Councillor Neal	$\checkmark$		
Councillor Clark	$\checkmark$			Councillor Norris	$\checkmark$		
Councillor Collins	$\checkmark$			Councillor Ottewell	$\checkmark$		
Councillor Culley		$\checkmark$		Councillor Packer			
Councillor Dewinton				Councillor Parbutt	$\checkmark$		
Councillor Edwards	$\checkmark$			Councillor Peach	$\checkmark$		
Councillor Gibson	$\checkmark$			Councillor Piper	$\checkmark$		
Councillor Grocock	$\checkmark$			Councillor Saghir	$\checkmark$		
Councillor Hartshorne	$\checkmark$			Councillor D Smith	$\checkmark$		
Councillor Healy	$\checkmark$			Councillor W Smith	$\checkmark$		
Councillor Heaton	$\checkmark$			Councillor Spencer		$\checkmark$	
Councillor Ibrahim	$\checkmark$			Councillor Steel		$\checkmark$	
Councillor Jenkins	$\checkmark$			Councillor Trimble	$\checkmark$		
Councillor Johnson	$\checkmark$			Councillor Unczur	$\checkmark$		
Councillor Jones	$\checkmark$			Councillor Urquhart	$\checkmark$		
				•			

Councillor G Khan	$\checkmark$	Councillor Watson	
Councillor N Khan	$\checkmark$	Councillor Webster	$\checkmark$
Councillor Klein	$\checkmark$	Councillor Wildgust	$\checkmark$
Councillor Liversidge	$\checkmark$	Councillor Wood	$\checkmark$

#### **RESOLVED to:**

- (1) approve the following:
  - (a) the revenue budget for 2014/15, including:
    - (i) the recommendations of the Chief Finance Officer in respect of the robustness of the estimates made for the purpose of the budget calculations and the adequacy of reserves;
    - (ii) the delegation of authority to the Deputy Chief Executive/Corporate Director for Resources, in consultation with the Deputy Leader, to finalise the MTFP for publication;
    - (iii) the delegation of authority to the appropriate Directors to implement saving proposals after undertaking the appropriate consultation;
  - (b) the capital programme for 2013/14 2018/19;
  - (c) a council tax requirement of £85,835,277, including the calculations required by Sections 30 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 ("the Act"), as set out below:
    - (i) £950,524,607 being the aggregate of the expenditure, allowances, reserves and amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(2) (a) to (f) of the Act;
    - (ii) £864,689,330 being the aggregate of the income and amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(3) (a) to (d) of the Act;
    - (iii) £85,835,277 being the amount by which the aggregate at (1)(c)(i) above exceeds the aggregate at (1)(c)(ii) above, calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 31A(4) of the Act, as its council tax requirement for the year;
  - (d) a City Council Band D basic amount of council tax for 2014/15 of £1,431.80 being the amount at (1)(c)(iii) divided by the amount at (2)(c) below, calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 31B(1) of the Act, as the basic amount of its council tax for the year (as set out in section 5 of the report);
  - (e) the setting of the amounts of council tax for 2014/15 at the levels described in section 5.6 of the report;

(f) the making of the Members' Allowances Scheme for 2014/15 in the terms of the previously adopted and amended scheme, save for adjustments to mirror nationally determined rates for travel and subsistence (as applicable to officers) and for carers' allowances.

# (2) note the following:

- (a) a Nottinghamshire and City of Nottingham Fire and Rescue Authority precept at Band D for 2014/15 of £71.05;
- (b) a Nottinghamshire Police and Crime Commissioner precept at Band D for 2014/15 of £172.98;
- (c) in January 2014, the City Council calculated the amount of 59,949 as its council tax base for the year 2014/15 in accordance with regulation 3 of the Local Authorities (Calculation of Council Tax Base) Regulations 2012.

COPY OF WRITTEN QUESTION TO BE ASKED BY COUNCILLOR MORRIS OF THE DEPUTY LEADER AT THE MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL HELD ON MONDAY 3 MARCH 2014

Could the Deputy Leader explain to Council what is happening with the Emergency Hardship Fund, and why even more houses are being forced to pay the bedroom tax?

Councillor Chapman replied as follows:

May I start by thanking you for your question as detailed above.

In April 2013, the Government abolished the part of the DWP's Social Fund that gave out Crisis Loans (CLs) and Community Care Grants (CCGs). They transferred the funding to local authorities instead and asked them to put local schemes in place to help vulnerable people who are in hardship. At that time (2013) the Government announced 2 years' worth of money to be given to local authorities – they have now confirmed (in the Local Government Settlement) that they will not continue this funding (called Local Welfare Provision Grant) in 2015/16.

Nottingham City developed its hardship scheme in partnership with the voluntary and advice sectors. We worked closely with them to shape the scheme and focus the sort of help it would give, decide how much help it should give and who should be the priority for receiving that help. The DWP data suggested that local authorites would be overwhelmed by demand and so we were careful to ensure that the scheme was designed so that we didn't run out of money in the first few months. Councillors were very supportive of the scheme and made clear in their decisions that the funding given to Nottingham City Council from the Government to address hardship should and would be used for that purpose.

The Scheme went live on 1 April 2013 and originally focussed on helping people who needed help due to an unavoidable emergency or disaster (usually help was given in the form of cash / fuel vouchers) and also in helping people with household goods, either for resettlement reasons, or if they needed replacement goods, such as a bed or a cooker. The scheme supplies the goods. Since the scheme was introduced, we have kept a close eye on it, to see how demand was, to see if there were budget pressures or not and to see if it was working properly in supporting households at point of emergency need and/or crisis. We also asked service users and the advice sector for their views on how it was working.

We have made quite a lot of changes to the original design of the scheme – these changes have made it more flexible in terms of who can use it (changes to the eligibility criteria), they have made it more generous and they have taken the focus off just providing emergency help, to make it more responsive to hardship caused by a range of reasons.

Quarter 1 - amended to make it clearer about providing help to people in certain circumstances including those fleeing domestic violence and those who have applied for out of work benefits and are awaiting payment.

Quarter 2 review outcome – amended to provide more generous awards of household items including fridges for people with children or who are required to store medication.

Quarter 3 review outcome - amended to become the Hardship Support Scheme. It will respond more flexibility to hardship and place less emphasis on the need to demonstrate emergency and/or crisis, to better meet the needs of households experiencing hardship in Nottingham.

#### Quarter 3 amendments include:

- An increase of up to 3 awards for hardship support in a 12 month period (was previously 1award only), and 1 award of household support;
- Awards for financial support have been extended from the current 3 days, up to 7 days for both food and utility supplies (gas and electricity);
- Financial support for gas and electric levels will increase during winter months to reflect the increased need;
- Eligibility now includes households that are in receipt of "in work" benefits such as working tax credit;
- Access to the scheme has been extended, enabling a direct 'fast track' referral route to the scheme from recognised agencies and professionals.

We are also talking to foodbanks to see how we may be able to help them.

Spend includes approx £500k in 2013/14. We committed to ring fencing the whole £1.8 million budget to help people in Nottingham. We have an underspend, however this was the first year of our new scheme and we have responded by changing the scheme when we have needed to do so. This means that we now have a much better understanding of need which places us in a good position to spread the available funding across a number of years and continue to help those most in need.

#### Future years

Given that there is only 2 years' worth of funding from Government for the hardship work, we think it is prudent to use what we have learnt so far and what our service users and partners are telling us, to think about how we can make the hardship support scheme available past the end of 2014/15. The Council will not be able to supplement this money as our budget pressures are too great but it seems to us that there will still be a need to help households in hardship in 2015/16 and beyond, so we are scoping options for how we can best use the 2 years' worth of money from Government to achieve this.

# 'Bedroom Tax' "loophole"

This affects working age tenants in social housing who have occupied the same home continuously since 1996. An oversight by the Department for Work and

Pensions (DWP) when drafting the legislation means that the housing benefit regulations dating from 1996 were not updated when the coalition legislated for the 'bedroom tax'. This means that thousands of people have been wrongly identified as liable for the bedroom tax, including some who have moved to a smaller property. Nationally, an estimated 40,000 people could be affected by the loophole. The DWP estimates 5,000 households are affected.

#### Implications for Nottingham

As at 12 February 2014 there are 3843 Nottingham City Homes (NCH) and 1028 Registered Social Landlord tenancies currently affected by the Bedroom Tax. NCH have manually checked records and have identified 834 households who have been in continuous receipt of HB since pre-1 January 1996. Of these 834 households, approximately 25% have been wrongly identified as liable for the 'bedroom tax', approximately 200 cases. A similar exercise is underway with the 1028 Registered Social Landlord cases, the problem here being a lack of data on tenancy commencement dates. Using the findings of the NCH data analysis it is possible to estimate that 50-60 cases potentially qualifying for the removal of the 'bedroom tax'.

The DWP have now amended legislation and closed the "loophole" effective from 3 March 2014. This means that from 3 March 2014 those households who would not have been liable for the 'bedroom tax' between 1 April 2013 and 2 March 2014 will have their Housing Benefit reduced from 3 March 2014 if they are still under occupying their home. Some tenants may have moved (downsized) as a result of the 'bedroom tax' and will no longer be affected. Work continues to identify affected cases with the aim of amending awards before the end of March 2014.