

**G**

**Title of EIA: Proposed Public Spaces Protection Orders (PSPO's): Footpath On Dane Court, Dane Close, St Anns, Nottingham**

**Name of Author: Abu Belim**

**Department: Commercial and Operations**

**Service Area: Community Protection**

**Author (assigned to Covalent): Abu Belim**

**Director: Andrew Errington**

**Strategic Budget EIA Y/N (please underline)**

**Brief description of proposal / policy / service being assessed:**

Bring into existence a Public Spaces Protection Order under the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 ("the 2014 Act") to retain / keep in place a permanent barrier that is located at the southern end of the footpath on Dane Court, Dane Close, St Anns, Nottingham.

**Information used to analyse the effects on equality:**

Consultation on proposed order, Measurements of distance for alternative routes,

	<b>Could particularly benefit X</b>	<b>May adversely impact X</b>	<b>How different groups could be affected (Summary of impacts)</b>	<b>Details of actions to reduce negative or increase positive impact (or why action isn't possible)</b>
People from different ethnic groups.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The permanent barrier (fence) at the southern end of the Dane Court footpath has been in place for approximately 17 years. Residents of Dane Court are all over the age of 60 and access their properties from Dane Close. This community is very diverse and includes people from protected groups including older residents. However, there is no Data available regarding the exact numbers of older people, disabled people and people with restricted mobility. There are 2 alternative routes for people. Alternative route 1: The additional distance that people will have to travel is up to approximately 220 metres. Alternative route 2: The additional distance that people will have to travel is up to approximately 185 metres. This may adversely impact on people who are disabled or people who have	As part of the public consultation on the proposed Order a map showing alternative routes was displayed on the Council's website. A letter advising residents of the consultation was delivered to all the properties within Dane Court, and neighbouring properties, Truman Close and Alison Walk. The letter included a map of the area showing the Dane Court footpath that has a permanent barrier (fence) subject to the closure. The letter contained a link to the Council's website which had a map showing the alternative routes. The alternative routes are along pavements which have street lights and no steep gradients or other disability access implications.  The public consultation commenced on 19 <sup>th</sup> March 2018 and ended on 23rd April 2018.
Men	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Women	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Trans	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Disabled people or carers.	<input type="checkbox"/>	X		
Pregnancy/ Maternity	<input type="checkbox"/>	X		
People of different faiths/ beliefs and those with none.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Lesbian, gay or bisexual people.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Older	<input type="checkbox"/>	X		
Younger	<input type="checkbox"/>	X		
Other (e.g. marriage/ civil partnership, looked after children, cohesion/ good relations, vulnerable children/ adults).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		

<p><b>Please underline the group(s) /issue more adversely affected or which benefits.</b></p>			<p>limited mobility.</p> <p>The proposal to keep the permanent barrier ( fence) at the southern end of the Dane Court footpath will address previous long standing issues of anti-social behaviour by persons unknown and was done as all other means to deal with the issue had not been possible or lacked evidence.</p> <p>This will benefit the elderly residents of Dane Court as limited access will continue to prevent issues of anti-social behaviour.</p>	<p>There are no known complaints from residents of having to take the alternative routes.</p> <p>A closure Order is not a permanent measure. If made, it is proposed that the PSPO will last for three years, after which it may be reviewed to see if the restrictions are still required. If the proposed PSPO is no longer required at this time, any barriers or gates installed under the authority of the proposed PSPO will need to be removed.</p>
---	--	--	---	--

**Outcome(s) of equality impact assessment:**

•No major change needed  •Adjust the policy/proposal  •Adverse impact but continue X

•Stop and remove the policy/proposal

**Arrangements for future monitoring of equality impact of this proposal / policy / service:**  
Complaints made through Have Your Say and to the Ward Members.

<p><b>Approved by (manager signature):</b> The assessment must be approved by the manager responsible for the service/proposal. Include a contact tel &amp; email to allow citizen/stakeholder feedback on proposals. Steve Stott, Anti-social Behaviour Manager, tel: 101 extn 3111350 e mail: stephen.stott6852@nottinghamshire.pnn.police.uk</p>	<p><b>Date sent to equality team for publishing:</b> <b>10th May 2018.</b></p> <p>Send document or link to: equalityanddiversityteam@nottinghamcity.gov.uk</p>
---	--

**Before you send your EIA to the Equality and Community Relations Team for scrutiny, have you:**

1. Read the guidance and good practice EIA's  
<http://www.nottinghamcity.gov.uk/article/25573/Equality-Impact-Assessment>
2. Clearly summarised your proposal/ policy/ service to be assessed.
3. Hyperlinked to the appropriate documents.
4. Written in clear user friendly language, free from all jargon (spelling out acronyms).
5. Included appropriate data.
6. Consulted the relevant groups or citizens or stated clearly when this is going to happen.

7. Clearly cross referenced your impacts with SMART actions.