

Nottingham City

PRIORITY FAMILY PROGRAMME - OUTCOMES PLAN

May 2018

A whole-family approach is a better way of targeting help and support to those families who need it most and delivering sustainable change for our most complex and vulnerable families. Nottingham City's Priority Families Programme is working to deliver system change and transformation to support our workforce to implement the whole-family approach, bringing together the skills and expertise of all our frontline partners.

Priority Families have complex needs and problems. These can include:

- Parents and children involved in crime or anti-social behaviour
- Children who have not been attending school regularly
- Children who need help
- Adults out of work or at risk of financial exclusion and young people at risk if worklessness
- Families affected by domestic violence and abuse
- Parents and children with a range of health problems and special educational needs and disabilities

Often these problems are linked together. This is because the whole family is connected. Tackling just one of these problems with one family member at a time is not going to help the whole family in the long-term. Our Priority Families Programme supports every member of the family.





Who is involved?

Priority Families is being led by Nottingham City Council, in partnership with:

- Nottinghamshire Police
- Nottingham City Homes
- Department of Work and Pensions
- Schools and Academies
- National Probation Service
- DLNR Community Rehabilitation Company
- Nottingham CityCare
- Crime and Drugs Partnership
- Voluntary and Community Sector organisations
- Futures Advice, Skills and Employment

All of these agencies and others are working to make sure our Priority Families get the best, most coordinated support. This is a partnership in every sense of the word.

Who is eligible for support?

Priority Families often have the greatest need for public sector support and cost the taxpayer the most money. By helping them to make sustainable, long-lasting change in their lives, the Priority Families Programme is saving money, working more efficiently and making a difference to the lives of more people in Nottingham.

The Outcomes Plan provides a common set of significant and sustainable outcome measures applicable to all families.

To be eligible for the Troubled Families Programme, each family must include dependent children¹ and have at least two of the following six problems.

1. Parents or children involved in crime or anti-social behaviour.
2. Children who have not been attending school regularly.
3. Children who need help: children of all ages who need help, are identified as in need or are subject to a Child Protection Plan.
4. Adults out of work or at risk of financial exclusion or young people at risk of worklessness.
5. Families affected by violence against women and girls
6. Parents or children with a range of health problems.



¹ For the purposes of the programme, a dependent child is a person aged 0-15 in a household or aged 16-18 in full-time education, in training or unemployed and living in a family with his or her parent(s). Non-dependent children in families are those living with their parent(s), and either (a) aged 19 or over or (b) aged 16 to 18 who are not in full-time education or who have a spouse, partner or child living in the household. Such children are often young adults, but may be older.

Nottingham Priority Families – Operational Outcomes Plan

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	OUTCOMES TO BE ACHIEVED	SUSTAINED FOR	EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FOR ELIGIBILITY & OUTCOMES
PRIORITY FAMILIES CRITERIA 1: Parents and children involved in crime or anti-social behaviour. Select all issues that are appropriate for the case			
<p>1.1 A child (under 18) who has committed a proven offence who has been convicted or sentenced in court or received a Youth Caution, Youth Conditional Caution or Youth Restorative Disposal (community resolution) in the previous 12 months.</p>	<p>1.1A Offences have reduced by at least 33% in severity and/or frequency during statutory contact</p> <p>OR</p> <p>1.1B No outcomes achieved</p> <p>OR</p> <p>1.1C Exemption</p>	3 months	<p>DATA LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Police • YOT <p>WORKER LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from Police • Feedback from YOT • Worker Case Notes
<p>1.2 Adult or child who has received an anti-social behaviour intervention in the last 12 months:</p>	<p>1.2A Anti-social behaviour has reduced by at least 60%</p> <p>OR</p> <p>1.2B No outcomes achieved</p> <p>OR</p> <p>1.2C Exemption</p>	6 months adult 3 months child	<p>DATA LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Police • Community Protection • Housing <p>WORKER LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from Housing • Feedback from Specialist Services (FIP/MST) • YOT - Asset Plus Rating Improved (Tool) • Compliance with civil remedies, ie, injunctions • Acceptable Behaviour Contract

Nottingham Priority Families – Operational Outcomes Plan

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	OUTCOMES TO BE ACHIEVED	SUSTAINED FOR	EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FOR ELIGIBILITY & OUTCOMES
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Case notes
<p>1.3 An Adult Prisoner who is less than 12 months from release date and will have parenting responsibilities on release.</p>	<p>1.3A Engagement with support services/agencies for length of statutory involvement</p> <p>OR</p> <p>1.3B No outcomes achieved</p> <p>OR</p> <p>1.3C Exemption</p>		<p>DATA LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Probation/CRC <p>WORKER LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attendance at programmes/sessions as agreed in the plan • Attendance at parenting programmes • Case notes
<p>1.4 An adult who's currently subject to a licence of supervision in the community following release from prison and has parenting responsibilities</p>	<p>1.4A Successful completion of any positive requirements on licence or order and a reduction of 33% in further convictions in terms of seriousness/frequency</p> <p>OR</p> <p>1.4B No outcomes achieved</p> <p>OR</p> <p>1.4C Exemption</p>	<p>6 Months Or Duration of Order (whichever is shorter)</p>	<p>DATA LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Police • Probation • Community Rehabilitation Company (CRC) <p>WORKER LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback on sessions attended • Case notes

Nottingham Priority Families – Operational Outcomes Plan

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	OUTCOMES TO BE ACHIEVED	SUSTAINED FOR	EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FOR ELIGIBILITY & OUTCOMES
<p>1.5 An adult currently serving a community order or a suspended sentence and who has parenting responsibilities.</p>	<p>1.5A Successful completion of any positive requirements on licence or order and a reduction of 33% in further convictions in terms of seriousness/frequency</p> <p>OR</p> <p>1.5B No outcomes achieved</p> <p>OR</p> <p>1.5C Exemption</p>	6 Months	<p>DATA LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Police • Probation • Community Rehabilitation Company (CRC) <p>WORKER LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback on sessions attended • Case notes
<p>1.6 Adults and children referred by professionals because their potential crime problem or offending behaviour is of equivalent concern to the indicators above.</p> <p>Only select 1.6 if none of the other eligibility criteria apply</p>	<p>1.6A No further concerns are reported by an appropriate professional</p> <p>AND/OR</p> <p>1.6B Reduction in need and move from a statutory to a non-statutory service or closed</p> <p>OR</p> <p>1.6C No outcomes achieved</p>	6 months	<p>DATA LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Police • YOT • Community Protection • Probation • Complex Person Panel • Young People's Panel • Liquid Logic <p>WORKER LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from Specialist services: Police, School, Community Protection, Vanguard Plus, YOT, TYS, FIP, MST, TST, TFST

Nottingham Priority Families – Operational Outcomes Plan

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	OUTCOMES TO BE ACHIEVED	SUSTAINED FOR	EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FOR ELIGIBILITY & OUTCOMES
	OR 1.6D Exemption		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from YPP or other appropriate Panel • Attendance at Sessions identified in Plan
1.7 Individual who is a member of, or at risk of becoming a member of a gang, or whose family is affected by gang membership.	1.7A Has engaged successfully with Specialist Services and sustained positive outcome OR 1.7B No outcomes achieved OR 1.7C Exemption	6 months adult 3 months child	<p>DATA LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Police • Vanguard Matrix • YOT • Liquid Logic • Young People’s Panels <p>WORKER LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from Specialist Services: Vanguard Plus, Police, YOT YPP, TYS, TST, School, Housing, FIP, Serious Organised Crime, Other Services
PRIORITY FAMILY CRITERIA 2: Children who have not been attending school regularly. Select all issues that are appropriate for the case			
2.1 A child who is persistently absent 10% from school for an average across the last 3 consecutive terms.	2.1A Child has less than 10% absence over 3 consecutive terms. Authorised absences are no longer required to be included in eligibility criteria. Evidence is required if authorised absence is considered as a matter of concern OR	12 months	<p>DATA LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capita One <p>WORKER LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from school or Attendance Record from schools not contributing to Capita One • Attendance certificates shared at multi-agency meetings

Nottingham Priority Families – Operational Outcomes Plan

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	OUTCOMES TO BE ACHIEVED	SUSTAINED FOR	EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FOR ELIGIBILITY & OUTCOMES
	<p>2.1B Where a child has an attendance of less than 40% and attendance has improved under “distance travelled” by a further 40% E.g. 40% to 80%.</p> <p>2.1C No outcomes achieved</p> <p>OR</p> <p>2.1D Exemption For example, but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Significant impairment (health issues) • Significant family crisis (parent death) • Late undiagnosed spectrum conditions • Domestic Violence (staying in hostel) • Homelessness • Parental Crime (imprisonment) • Transient Families (house moves) • Young Carers • Victim of CSE • Parental Mental Health issues 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EHCP/SEND Support • Case notes <p>Exemption would be only in a very rare case and only probably allowed with a ECHP stating that attendance at school is not feasible. Also when we need to exempt part way through the work because they’ve gone from 15 to 16 and now trigger the NEET criteria and not the Education criteria.</p>
2.2	2.2A Child has no fixed term exclusions for the subsequent consecutive 2 terms.	2 consecutive terms	<p>DATA LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capita One

Nottingham Priority Families – Operational Outcomes Plan

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	OUTCOMES TO BE ACHIEVED	SUSTAINED FOR	EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FOR ELIGIBILITY & OUTCOMES
<p>A child who has received at least 3 fixed term exclusions in the last 3 consecutive school terms.</p> <p>A child at primary school who has had at least 5 school days of fixed term exclusion in the last 3 consecutive terms.</p> <p>A child of any age who has had at least 10 days of fixed term exclusion in the last 3 consecutive terms.</p>	<p>OR</p> <p>2.2B No outcomes achieved</p> <p>OR</p> <p>2.2C Exemption</p>		<p>WORKER LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from school • Feedback from EWS • Minutes of Multi Agency Meetings • Provision Map from school • Individual Education Plans • Case Notes • Reasonable Adjustments • EHCP/SEND Support
<p>2.3 A child who has been permanently excluded from school in the last 3 consecutive school terms.</p>	<p>2.3A Less than 3 fixed term exclusions or no further Permanent Exclusions</p> <p>OR</p> <p>2.3B No outcomes achieved</p> <p>OR</p> <p>2.3C Exemption</p>	2 consecutive terms	<p>DATA LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capita One <p>WORKER LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from Denewood /Unity Learning Centres. • Feedback from Alternative Education Provider • EHCP/SEND Support
<p>2.4 A child who is in alternative provision for behavioural problems</p>	<p>2.4A Less than 3 fixed term exclusions or permanent exclusion</p> <p>OR</p>	2 consecutive terms	<p>DATA LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capita One <p>WORKER LED:</p>

Nottingham Priority Families – Operational Outcomes Plan

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	OUTCOMES TO BE ACHIEVED	SUSTAINED FOR	EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FOR ELIGIBILITY & OUTCOMES
	2.4B No outcomes achieved OR 2.4C Exemption		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from school • Feedback from Alternative Provision • Reasonable adjustments • Higher Level Needs • Case notes
2.5 A child who is neither registered with a school, nor being educated in an alternative setting.	2.5A Child is registered and on roll at school or receiving suitable alternative education OR 2.5B No outcomes achieved OR 2.5 C Exemption	2 consecutive terms	DATA LED: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capita One • WASP List • Case notes WORKER LED: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from school • Feedback from EWS • Attendance records from schools not contributing to Capita One • Case notes
2.6 A child nominated by education professionals as having school attendance problems of equivalent concern to indicators above, because he/she is not receiving a suitable full time education including Elective Home Education.	2.6A No further concerns raised by appropriate professionals OR 2.6B No outcomes achieved OR	2 consecutive terms	DATA LED: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capita One WORKER LED: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from Education Professionals • Education Health Care Plan • EHCP/SEND Support • Case notes

Nottingham Priority Families – Operational Outcomes Plan

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	OUTCOMES TO BE ACHIEVED	SUSTAINED FOR	EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FOR ELIGIBILITY & OUTCOMES
	2.6C Exemption		
PRIORITY FAMILIES CRITERIA 3: Children who need help. Select all issues that are appropriate for the case			
<p>3.1 A child who has been identified as needing early help. This may include children below the threshold for services under Section 17, Children Act 1989.</p> <p>Child meeting two of the indicators of need listed in the Family Support Pathway.</p>	<p>3.1A Reduce need of service, move to a lower level of need or service, or case closed. (Including cases where specialist support to an individual is required)</p> <p>OR</p> <p>3.1B No outcomes achieved</p> <p>OR</p> <p>3.1C Exemption – Child no longer living in the home appropriately</p>	<p>3 months And satisfied sustainable exit plan</p>	<p>DATA LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Liquid Logic • Police • <i>FIS List</i> • <i>Soft Smart (Children Centre)</i> • WORKER LED: Feedback from Health Visitor • Feedback from Targeted Support Teams • Feedback from Early Help Services • Feedback from Schools and other partners • Feedback from quality audit process • Feedback from Small Steps Big Changes Programme. • Feedback from Family Nurse Partnership • Signs of Safety (Pre and Post Score) (Tool) • Three House (Pre and Post) (Tool) • Feedback from Police • PAMS (Assessment & Tool)

Nottingham Priority Families – Operational Outcomes Plan

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	OUTCOMES TO BE ACHIEVED	SUSTAINED FOR	EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FOR ELIGIBILITY & OUTCOMES
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parenting Problem Check List (Tool) • Parenting Triple P Booklet (Pre and Post Score) (Tool) • Strength and Difficulties Scaler (Pre and Post Score) (Tool) • Family Assessment Scales and Questionnaires (Pre and Post Score) (Tool) • Return Home Interview MA2 • Asset Plus – Parenting (Pre and Post Score) (Tool) • Other Evidence Based Tools • All Domestic Violence Tools from Child Perspective. • Case notes
<p>3.2 A child referred by professionals as having problems of equivalent concern to the indicators above.</p> <p>This could include one of the following (source Family Support Pathway):-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Child Reported missing twice in last 6 months ○ Child is identified as a Young Carer in need of support ○ Child identified as risk of CSE 	<p>3.2A</p> <p>Reduced incidents of missing</p> <p>Self-reported life improvements</p> <p>Reduced levels of risk</p> <p>Self-reported life improvements</p> <p>No longer at risk of becoming homeless</p> <p>Self-reported no longer feeling discriminated against</p> <p>Attending substance misuse sessions</p>	<p>3 months</p>	<p>DATA LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Liquid Logic • Police • Careworks • SystM One <p>WORKER LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from Specialist Services: Young Carers Federation, CSE Panel, Bereavement Counselling, Housing Aid,

Nottingham Priority Families – Operational Outcomes Plan

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	OUTCOMES TO BE ACHIEVED	SUSTAINED FOR	EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FOR ELIGIBILITY & OUTCOMES
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Child suffering loss - bereavement ○ Child at risk of becoming homeless ○ Child at risk of persistent discrimination due to race, sexuality, gender or culture, honour based, forced marriage, hate crime ○ Child with significant substance misuse ○ Child impacted by Domestic Violence ○ Child Special Educational Needs and/or Disability 	<p>Self-reported improvement and feeling safe Successful completion of group work with desired outcomes</p> <p>OR</p> <p>3.2B No outcomes achieved</p> <p>OR</p> <p>3.2C Exemption</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Signs of Safety (Pre and Post Score) (Tool) • Three Houses (Pre and Post Score) (Tool) • Other Evidenced Based Tools • Use of SEND outcomes framework • Case notes
<p>3.3 A 'Child In Need' under section 17, Children Act 1989.</p>	<p>3.3A Reduction in need and move from statutory to non- statutory service or closed. Successful completion of group work with desired outcomes</p> <p>And/or</p> <p>3.3B No further referrals or assessments for Social Care Intervention</p> <p>OR</p> <p>3.3C No outcomes achieved</p>	<p>3 months</p> <p>3 months</p>	<p>DATA LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Liquid Logic <p>WORKER LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Signs of Safety (Pre and Post Score) (Tool) • Three Houses (Assessment and Closure) (Tool) • Parenting Problem Check List (Tool) • Parenting Triple P Booklet (Pre and Post Score) (Tool) • Strength and Difficulties Scaler (Pre and Post Score) (Tool) • Family Assessment Scales and Questionnaires (Pre and Post Score) (Tool)

Nottingham Priority Families – Operational Outcomes Plan

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	OUTCOMES TO BE ACHIEVED	SUSTAINED FOR	EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FOR ELIGIBILITY & OUTCOMES
	OR 3.3D Exemption		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PAMS • Other Evidence Based Tools
3.4 A child who has been subject to an enquiry under Section 47, Children Act 1989	3.4A Significant reduction in risk of harm as determined by relevant professionals. Successful completion of group work with desired outcomes 3.4B No further referrals to Social Care resulting in a further assessment of need or Section 47 enquiries OR 3.4C No outcomes achieved OR 3.4D Exemption	3 months	DATA LED: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Liquid Logic WORKER LED: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Signs of Safety (Pre and Post Score) (Tool) • Feedback from Specialist Teams: MST, EoC Hub, TST, Social Care • Three House (Assessment and closure) (Tool) Parenting Problem Check List (Tool) • Parenting Triple P Booklet (Pre and Post Score) (Tool) • Strength and Difficulties Scaler (Pre and Post Score) (Tool) • Family Assessment Scalers and Questionnaires (Pre and Post Score) (Tool) • Other Evidence Based Tools • PAMS • Case notes
3.5 A child subject to a Child Protection Plan	3.5A Reduction in need and move from statutory to non- statutory service or closed.	6 months	DATA LED: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Liquid Logic WORKER LED:

Nottingham Priority Families – Operational Outcomes Plan

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	OUTCOMES TO BE ACHIEVED	SUSTAINED FOR	EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FOR ELIGIBILITY & OUTCOMES
	<p>Successful completion of group work with desired outcomes</p> <p>OR</p> <p>3.5B No outcomes achieved</p> <p>OR</p> <p>3.5C Exemption</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from Specialist Services • Signs of Safety (Pre and Post Score) (Tool) • Feedback from Specialist Teams: MST, EoC Hub, TST, Social Care • Three House (Assessment and closure) (Tool) Parenting Problem Check List (Tool) • Parenting Triple P Booklet (Pre and Post Score) (Tool) • Strength and Difficulties Scaler (Pre and Post Score) (Tool) • Family Assessment Scales and Questionnaires (Pre and Post Score) (Tool) • Other Evidence Based Tools • PAMS • Maintaining a child within the family unit where appropriate • Case notes
<p>3.6 At risk of going into Local Authority Care or In Care under section 20 or Interim Care Orders</p>	<p>3.6A Re-unified within family, extended family or agreed Private Fostering placement Successful completion of group work with desired outcomes</p> <p>3.6B Successful intervention by Edge of Care Services</p>	<p>3 months</p>	<p>DATA LED</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Liquid Logic <p>WORKER LED</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from Specialist Services • Signs of Safety (Pre and Post Score) (Tool)

Nottingham Priority Families – Operational Outcomes Plan

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	OUTCOMES TO BE ACHIEVED	SUSTAINED FOR	EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FOR ELIGIBILITY & OUTCOMES
	<p>And/or</p> <p>3.6C Case transferred to a team dealing with lower tier cases or case closed. Case not opened to Social Care for a further assessment.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>3.6D No outcomes achieve</p> <p>3.6E Exemption</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from Specialist Teams: MST, EoC Hub, TST, Social Care • Three House (Assessment and closure) (Tool) Parenting Problem Check List (Tool) • Private Fostering assessment • Parenting Triple P Booklet (Pre and Post Score) (Tool) • Strength and Difficulties Scaler (Pre and Post Score) (Tool) • Family Assessment Scalars and Questionnaires (Pre and Post Score) (Tool) • Other Evidence Based Tools • PAMS • Evidence of placement stability • Case notes
<p>PRIORITY FAMILIES CRITERIA 4: Adults out of work or at risk of financial exclusion and young people at risk of worklessness. Select all issues that are appropriate for the case</p>			
<p>4.1 An adult in receipt of a work related benefit</p> <p>Notes: reviewed 5.7.16 present ND, SN, VF, Katy Pearson, Gaynor Rossiter</p>	<p>4.1A To be off benefits and in work (ESA, Incapacity, Carer's Allowance, Income Support)</p> <p>OR</p> <p>4.1B</p>	<p>3 months</p> <p>6 months</p>	<p>DATA LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DWP • Revenues and Benefits (NCC) <p>WORKER LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from Skills and Training Providers • Feedback from TFEA • Feedback from Voluntary Sector

Nottingham Priority Families – Operational Outcomes Plan

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	OUTCOMES TO BE ACHIEVED	SUSTAINED FOR	EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FOR ELIGIBILITY & OUTCOMES
	<p>To be off benefits and in work (Job Seekers Allowance and Universal Credit [Full role out 2017])</p> <p>OR</p> <p>4.1C Progress towards being work ready and obtaining employment, for example, could include one or more of the following:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An adult in the household has achieved a recognised qualification that supports progress to work. • An Adult in the family has taken early entry into the work programme • An Adult in the family has undertaken unpaid work e.g, volunteering or work experience placement • Movement to a benefit requiring in to work steps to be achieved • Attends group sessions that are work related • Regularly attending an apprenticeship (not on full salary) continuously • Is in paid Part Time Work – less than 16 hours Sector Based Work Academy (19+ and in receipt of benefit) • Attends a sector based academy programme and completes course 	<p>13 weeks</p> <p>13 or 26 weeks</p> <p>13 or 26 weeks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from Education Provisions • Case notes <p>Note: Where an adult is a carer and in receipt of a qualifying benefit exemption from employment will be determined by DWP professionals on a case by case basis.</p>

Nottingham Priority Families – Operational Outcomes Plan

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	OUTCOMES TO BE ACHIEVED	SUSTAINED FOR	EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FOR ELIGIBILITY & OUTCOMES
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other steps towards becoming work ready <p>OR</p> <p>4.1D Exempt as ESA Support Group Claimant (no requirement to return to work)</p> <p>OR</p> <p>4.1E Exempt as person is main carer and has a child under 1 year old</p> <p>OR</p> <p>4.1F Exempt as person chooses to stay at home and has a child under 5 years old. From child's 4th to 5th birthday mandatory for adult to resume attendance at JCP but no requirement to actively seek employment</p> <p>OR</p> <p>4.1G No outcomes achieved (Work Coaches then look to achieve progress to work)</p>		

Nottingham Priority Families – Operational Outcomes Plan

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	OUTCOMES TO BE ACHIEVED	SUSTAINED FOR	EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FOR ELIGIBILITY & OUTCOMES
	4.1H Exemption		
<p>4.2 A child is about to leave school, has no/few qualifications and no planned education, training or employment</p>	<p>4.2A Commenced training or employment or education , for example, it could include one of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pre-traineeships and Traineeships • Accredited apprenticeship placement • Partnership Pre Employment Training • Work experience placement • YP signs up to the Employer Hub, Apprenticeship Hub and Youth Contract • A young person has undertaken permitted therapeutic work or voluntary work • Futures or other partnership provision • Further or Higher Education (includes 6th form) <p>OR</p> <p>4.2B No outcomes achieved</p> <p>OR</p> <p>4.2C Exemption Significant health reason (Pregnancy)</p>		<p>DATA LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Futures • LA data • Liquid Logic <p>WORKER LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from TFEA • Feedback from Voluntary Sector • Case notes

Nottingham Priority Families – Operational Outcomes Plan

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	OUTCOMES TO BE ACHIEVED	SUSTAINED FOR	EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FOR ELIGIBILITY & OUTCOMES
<p>4.3 A child or young person (16-18 year olds) who is not in education, training or employment</p>	<p>4.3A A child or young person attending education provision, training provision or employed. (No longer NEET) or Supported Internships</p> <p>OR</p> <p>4.3B No outcomes achieved</p> <p>OR</p> <p>4.3C Exemption</p>	3 months	<p>DATA LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Futures • YOT • LA data • SEND Supported Internships Data <p>WORKER LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from TFEA • Feedback from Voluntary Sector
<p>4.4 Parents and families referred by Professionals as being at significant risk of financial exclusion. This may include those with problematic/unmanageable levels and forms of debt and those with significant rent arrears.</p>	<p>4.4A Appropriate response and management of debt and financial plans and sanctions adhered to.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>4.4B No outcomes achieved</p> <p>4.4C Exemption (Technical Reason)</p>	3 months	<p>DATA LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Futures • Housing • YOT • DWP • Revenues and Benefits • LA data <p>WORKER LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from TFEA • Feedback from Housing • Feedback from Specialist Services • Feedback from Voluntary Sector • Feedback of Budget Plans • Feedback of Welfare Rights Plans

Nottingham Priority Families – Operational Outcomes Plan

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	OUTCOMES TO BE ACHIEVED	SUSTAINED FOR	EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FOR ELIGIBILITY & OUTCOMES
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback Rent Arrear Payments Maintained Period 3 months • Feedback DWP no Sanctions • Feedback showing reduction in need for Foodbank/Clothes Bank • Appropriate benefits for SEND children or young person • Case notes
<p>PRIORITY FAMILIES CRITERIA 5: Families affected by domestic violence and abuse. Select all issues that are appropriate for the case</p>			
<p>5.1 A young person or adult known to local services as having experienced (in the last 12 months), currently experiencing or at risk of experiencing domestic abuse.</p>	<p>5.1A Reduction of domestic abuse incidents by at least 33%</p> <p>5.1B The victim/survivor has engaged with specialist support services and/or completed a recognised DV awareness programme, for example, Freedom Programme.</p> <p>and/or</p> <p>5.1C The victim/survivor is assessed as safer and able to act appropriately and maintain the safety of themselves and the children</p> <p>and/or</p>	<p>6 months</p>	<p>DATA LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DART • Police <p>WORKER LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DASH RIC by Safe Lives (Pre and Post work) (Tool) • Safety Plans • Great Project Resources (Tool) • Attendance at Stronger Families • Attendance at Family Lives • Feedback from WAIS YP Advocate • Attendance at Freedom Programme • Attendance at Loud Speaker • Feedback from CAMHS DV Specialist

Nottingham Priority Families – Operational Outcomes Plan

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	OUTCOMES TO BE ACHIEVED	SUSTAINED FOR	EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FOR ELIGIBILITY & OUTCOMES
	5.1D The Young Person or adult is feeling safer, there is reduced risk from perpetrator(s) and increased space for action. OR 5.1E No outcomes achieved OR 5.1F Exemption		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Signs of Safety (Pre and post work) (Tool) • Three Houses (Pre and post work) • Coercion control diary (FPW to keep) • Civil and Enforcement Tools • Evidence of reduced need/access to appropriate services • Case notes
5.2 A young person or adult who is known to local services as having perpetrated an incident of domestic violence or abuse in the last 12 months.	5.2A A reduction in risky behaviour and risk to the survivor as determined by relevant professionals* 5.2B Engage in perpetrator interventions 5.2C Reduction in coercive and controlling behaviour as assessed by relevant professionals OR 5.2D	6 months	DATA LED: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DART • YOT • Police • Probation • Qualitative evidence from services WORKER LED: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from Probation/YOT • DASH/RIC form • Use of relevant self- assessments from the survivors perspective • Attendance Equality & Diversity Training

Nottingham Priority Families – Operational Outcomes Plan

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	OUTCOMES TO BE ACHIEVED	SUSTAINED FOR	EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FOR ELIGIBILITY & OUTCOMES
	Compliance with injunctions or orders and civil tools 5.2E No outcomes achieved OR 5.2F Exemption		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from WAIS Perpetrator work • Sessions around conflict management, motivation to change • Responding to challenge/interventions • Feedback/Evidence Stride Toolkit (Tool) • Signs of Safety (Pre and post work) (Tool) • Three House (Pre and post work) (Tool) • Coercion Control Diary (FPW to keep) • Choices and Respectful Relationships work • Civil tools • Case notes
5.3 Household has been subject to a Police call out for at least one domestic incident in the last 12 months.	5.3A An appropriate change to level of Police Call outs for domestic abuse incidents in response to level of risk 5.3B No outcome OR 5.3C Exemption	At least 3 months	DATA LED: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Police • DART • YOT WORKER LED: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback Police • Feedback from Probation/YOT • Attendance Equality & Diversity Training

Nottingham Priority Families – Operational Outcomes Plan

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	OUTCOMES TO BE ACHIEVED	SUSTAINED FOR	EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FOR ELIGIBILITY & OUTCOMES
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from WAIS Perpetrator work • Sessions around conflict management, motivation to change • Feedback/Evidence Stride Toolkit (Tool) • Signs of Safety (Pre and post work) (Tool) • Three House (Pre and post work) (Tool) • Coercion Control Diary (FPW to keep) • Case notes
PRIORITY FAMILIES CRITERIA 6: Parents and children with a range of health problems. Select all issues that are appropriate for the case			
6.1 An adult with parenting/carer responsibilities with a mental health problem or a child with mental health problems.	6.1A Adults or children with mental health problems engage with appropriate support and are able to effectively manage their condition as judged by health professionals And/or 6.1B Adult or child with a reduced need of service measured by appropriate health professionals And/or	Adult 3 months Child 3 months Adult 3 months Child 3 months At Closure	DATA LED: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Health data as available from different services</i> • Police (callouts) WORKER LED: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from CAMHS • Feedback from Adult Mental Health Service. • Feedback from specialist services regarding level of service e.g City Care • A&E

Nottingham Priority Families – Operational Outcomes Plan

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	OUTCOMES TO BE ACHIEVED	SUSTAINED FOR	EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FOR ELIGIBILITY & OUTCOMES
	6.1C Self-reported improvement in family wellbeing OR 6.1D No outcomes achieved OR 6.1E Exemption		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from Family • Parental Conflict (Tool) • PAMS (Assessment and Teaching Tool) • Signs of Safety (Pre and post work) (Tool) • Three Houses (Pre and post work) (Tool) • Asset Plus (Tool) • Connors (Tool) • CAMHS Scalars (Tool) • Sharp Team Scalars (Tool) • SDQ (Tool) • Chi (Tool) • Choice Assessment (Pre and post work) (Tool) • Who 5 Wellbeing (Tool) Pre & Post • DASS (Tool) Pre & Post • Case notes
6.2 An adult with parenting/carer responsibilities with a drug or alcohol problem or a child with drug or alcohol problem	6.2A Individual has received support and has not required any further support or required lower level of service as judged by an appropriate professional And/or 6.2B	Adult 3 months Child 3 months	DATA: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NHS WORKER LED: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attendance at Caring for Kids • Signs of Safety (Pre and post work) (Tool) • Three Houses (Pre and post work) (Tool)

Nottingham Priority Families – Operational Outcomes Plan

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	OUTCOMES TO BE ACHIEVED	SUSTAINED FOR	EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FOR ELIGIBILITY & OUTCOMES
	<p>No safeguarding concerns raised regarding drug and alcohol use impacting on the child/children.</p> <p>And/or</p> <p>6.2C Self-reported significant reduction in harm caused by drugs or alcohol use of others OR</p> <p>6.2D No outcomes achieved OR</p> <p>6.2E Exemption</p>	<p>3 months</p> <p>At closure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attendance at Explorer Families • Feedback from Specialist Services: Last Orders, SPA, • TOPS (Pre and post Work) (Tool) • Attendance at sessions for 'Family and Friends services' • Case notes
<p>6.3 A mother with a child up to 4 who has a mental health or substance misuse problem and other health factors associated with poor parenting. This could include mothers who are receiving a Universal Partnership Plus service or participating in a Family Nurse Partnership</p>	<p>6.3A Individual has received support and has not required any further support or required lower level of service as judged by an appropriate professional.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>6.3B Accessing appropriate support OR</p>	<p>6 months</p> <p>6 months</p>	<p>DATA LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Health specialist data <p>WORKER LED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attendance at Parenting Programmes • PAMS & Teaching PAMS (Tool) • Feedback from Children's Centre Outcomes Evidence Group • Attendance at Caring for kids • Feedback from FNP and Health Visiting Specialist Teams

Nottingham Priority Families – Operational Outcomes Plan

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	OUTCOMES TO BE ACHIEVED	SUSTAINED FOR	EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FOR ELIGIBILITY & OUTCOMES
	OR 6.4D Exemption		